

Rapport: Fosterdiagnostik med mikroarray för utökad analys av kromosomer, 246

Sid	Stod	Ska stå	Korrigeringsdatum
29	Denna utvärdering omfattar en genomgång av sammanfattningar till 1 969 vetenskapliga artiklar, varav 316	Denna utvärdering omfattar en genomgång av sammanfattningar till 1 969 vetenskapliga artiklar, varav 319	160411
42	Två kvalitativa [47,48] och två kvantitativa [49,50].	s. Två kvantitativa [47,48] och två kvalitativa [49,50].	160411
94	Hillman 2013 [30]	Hillman 2013 [48]	160411
43	Totalt inkluderades åtta studier där olika typer av ultraljudsavvikelse följts upp med mikroarray [24,27,33,38–40,42,43].	Totalt inkluderades 13 studier där olika typer av ultraljudsavvikelse följts upp med mikroarray [21,24,27,28,32-34, 37-39,41,42].	160411
96	21. Hillman SC, Pretlove S, Coomarasamy A, McMullan DJ, Davison EV, Maher ER, et al. Additional information from array comparative genomic hybridization technology over conventional karyotyping in prenatal diagnosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol</i> 2011;37:6-14.	21. Hillman SC, McMullan DJ, Hall G, Togneri FS, James N, Maher EJ, et al. Use of prenatal chromosomal micro-array: prospective cohort study and systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol</i> 2013;41: 610-20.	160411
97	30. Hillman SC, McMullan DJ, Hall G, Togneri FS, James N, Maher EJ, et al. Use of prenatal chromosomal micro-array: prospective cohort study and systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol</i> 2013;41: 610-20.	30. Kan AS, Lau ET, Tang WF, Chan SS, Ding SC, Chan KY, et al. Whole-genome array CGH evaluation for replacing prenatal karyotyping in Hong Kong. <i>PLoS One</i> 2014;9:e87988.	160411
97	31. Kan AS, Lau ET, Tang WF, Chan SS, Ding SC, Chan KY, et al. Whole-genome array CGH evaluation for replacing prenatal karyotyping in	31. Liao C, Fu F, Li R, Xie GE, Zhang YL, Li J, et al. Implementation of high-resolution SNP arrays in the investigation of fetuses with ultrasound malformations: 5 years of	160411

	Hong Kong. PLoS One 2014;9:e87988.	clinical experience. Clin Genet 2014;86:264-9.	
97	32. Liao C, Fu F, Li R, Xie GE, Zhang YL, Li J, et al. Implementation of high-resolution SNP arrays in the investigation of fetuses with ultrasound malformations: 5 years of clinical experience. Clin Genet 2014;86:264-9.	32. Liao C, Li R, Fu F, Xie G, Zhang Y, Pan M, et al. Prenatal diagnosis of congenital heart defect by genome-wide high-resolution SNP array. Prenat Diagn 2014;34:858-63.	160411
97	33. Liao C, Fu F, Li R, Xie GE, Zhang YL, Li J, et al. Implementation of high-resolution SNP arrays in the investigation of fetuses with ultrasound malformations: 5 years of clinical experience. Clin Genet 2014;86:264-9.	33. Lund IC, Christensen R, Petersen OB, Vogel I, Vestergaard EM. Chromosomal microarray in fetuses with increased nuchal translucency. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2015;45:95-100.	160411
97	34. Lund IC, Christensen R, Petersen OB, Vogel I, Vestergaard EM. Chromosomal microarray in fetuses with increased nuchal translucency. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2014.	34. Reshetnikova I, Krejci P, Masood R, et al. High-resolution chromosomal microarrays in prenatal diagnosis significantly increase diagnostic power. Prenat Diagn 2014;34:525-33.	160411
97	35. Oneda B, Baldinger R, Reissmann R, Reshetnikova I, Krejci P, Masood R, et al. High-resolution chromosomal microarrays in prenatal diagnosis significantly increase diagnostic power. Prenat Diagn 2014;34:525-33.	35. Schmid M, Stary S, Springer S, Bettelheim D, Husslein P, Streubel B. Prenatal microarray analysis as second-tier diagnostic test: single-center prospective study. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2013;41:267-73.	160411
97	36. Schmid M, Stary S, Springer S, Bettelheim D, Husslein P, Streubel B. Prenatal microarray analysis as second-tier diagnostic test: single-center prospective study. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2013;41:267-73.	36. Scott F, Murphy K, Carey L, Greville W, Mansfield N, Barahona P, et al. Prenatal diagnosis using combined quantitative fluorescent polymerase chain reaction and array comparative genomic hybridization analysis as a first-line test: results from over 1000 consecutive cases. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2013; 41:500-7.	160411
97	37. Scott F, Murphy K, Carey L, Greville W, Mansfield N, Barahona P, et al. Prenatal diagnosis using combined quantitative fluorescent polymerase chain reaction and	37. Shaffer LG, Rosenfeld JA, Dabell MP, Coppinger J, Bandholz AM, Ellison JW, et al. Detection rates of clinically significant genomic alterations by micro-array analysis for specific	160411

	array comparative genomic hybridization analysis as a first-line test: results from over 1000 consecutive cases. <i>Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol</i> 2013; 41:500-7.	anomalies detected by ultrasound. <i>Prenat Diagn</i> 2012;32:986-95.	
97	38. Shaffer LG, Rosenfeld JA, Dabell MP, Coppinger J, Bandholz AM, Ellison JW, et al. Detection rates of clinically significant genomic alterations by micro-array analysis for specific anomalies detected by ultrasound. <i>Prenat Diagn</i> 2012;32:986-95.	38. Sun L, Wu Q, Jiang SW, Yan Y, Wang X, Zhang J, et al. Prenatal diagnosis of central nervous system anomalies by high-resolution chromosomal micro-array analysis. <i>Biomed Res Int</i> 2015; 2015:426379. Epub 2015 May 12.	160411
97	39. Sun L, Wu Q, Jiang SW, Yan Y, Wang X, Zhang J, et al. Prenatal Diagnosis of Central Nervous System Anomalies by High-Resolution Chromosomal Microarray Analysis. <i>Biomed Res Int</i> 2015;2015:426379.	39. Tang S, Lv J, Chen X, Bai L, Li H, Chen C, et al. Prenatal diagnosis of DNA copy number variations by genomic single-nucleotide polymorphism array in fetuses with congenital heart defects. <i>Fetal Diagn Ther</i> 2016;39:64-73.	160411
97	40. Tang S, Lv J, Chen X, Bai L, Li H, Chen C, et al. Prenatal Diagnosis of DNA Copy Number Variations by Genomic Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism Array in Fetuses with Congenital Heart Defects. <i>Fetal Diagn Ther</i> 2015.	40. Wapner RJ, Martin CL, Levy B, Ballif BC, Eng CM, Zachary JM, et al. Chromosomal microarray versus karyo-typing for prenatal diagnosis. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 2012;367:2175-84.	160411
97	41. Wapner RJ, Martin CL, Levy B, Ballif BC, Eng CM, Zachary JM, et al. Chromosomal microarray versus karyo-typing for prenatal diagnosis. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 2012;367:2175-84.	41. Vestergaard EM, Christensen R, Petersen OB, Vogel I. Prenatal diagnosis: array comparative genomic hybridization in fetuses with abnormal sonographic findings. <i>Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand</i> 2013;92:762-8.	160411
97	42. Vestergaard EM, Christensen R, Petersen OB, Vogel I. Prenatal diagnosis: array comparative genomic hybridization in fetuses with abnormal sonographic findings. <i>Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand</i> 2013;92:762-8.	42. Yan Y, Wu Q, Zhang L, Wang X, Dan S, Deng D, et al. Detection of submicroscopic chromosomal aberrations by array-based comparative genomic hybridization in fetuses with congenital heart disease. <i>Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol</i> 2014;43:404-12.	160411
97	43. Yan Y, Wu Q, Zhang L, Wang X, Dan S, Deng D, et al. Detection of	43. Fiorentino F, Caiazzo F, Napolitano S, Spizzichino L, Bono S, Sessa M, et al.	160411

	sub-microscopic chromosomal aberrations by array-based comparative genomic hybridization in fetuses with congenital heart disease. <i>Ultrasound Obstet Gyne-col</i> 2014;43:404-12.	Introducing array comparative genomic hybridization into routine prenatal diagnosis practice: a prospective study on over 1000 consecutive clinical cases. <i>Prenat Diagn</i> 2011;31:1270-82.	
97	44. Fiorentino F, Caiazza F, Napolitano S, Spizzichino L, Bono S, Sessa M, et al. Introducing array comparative genomic hybridization into routine prenatal diagnosis practice: a prospective study on over 1000 consecutive clinical cases. <i>Prenat Diagn</i> 2011;31:1270-82.	44. Coppinger J, Alliman S, Lamb AN, Torchia BS, Bejjani BA, Shaffer LG. Whole-genome microarray analysis in prenatal specimens identifies clinically significant chromosome alterations with-out increase in results of unclear significance compared to targeted microarray. <i>Prenat Diagn</i> 2009;29:1156-66.	160411
98	45. Coppinger J, Alliman S, Lamb AN, Torchia BS, Bejjani BA, Shaffer LG. Whole-genome microarray analysis in prenatal specimens identifies clinically significant chromosome alterations with-out increase in results of unclear significance compared to targeted microarray. <i>Prenat Diagn</i> 2009;29:1156-66.	45. SBU Alert. QF-PCR för bestämning av kromosomavvikelser hos foster. Version 2. Stockholm: Statens beredning för medicinsk utvärdering (SBU); 2004. http://www.sbu.se . 2006.	160411
98	46. SBU. SBU Alert. QF-PCR för bestämning av kromosomavvikelser hos foster. Version 2. Stockholm: Statens beredning för medicinsk utvärdering (SBU); 2004. http://www.sbu.se . 2006.	46. van der Steen SL, Diderich KE, Riedijk SR, Verhagen-Visser J, Govaerts LC, Joosten M, et al. Pregnant couples at increased risk for common aneuploidies choose maximal information from invasive genetic testing. <i>Clin Genet</i> 2015;88:25-31.	160411
98	47. van der Steen SL, Diderich KE, Riedijk SR, Verhagen-Visser J, Govaerts LC, Joosten M, et al. Pregnant couples at increased risk for common aneuploidies choose maximal information from invasive genetic testing. <i>Clin Genet</i> 2014	47. Walser SA, Kellom KS, Palmer SC, Bernhardt BA. Comparing genetic counselors' and patients' perceptions of needs in prenatal chromosomal microarray testing. <i>Prenat Diagn</i> 2015;35:870-8.	160411
98	48. Walser SA, Kellom KS, Palmer SC, Bernhardt BA. Comparing genetic counselors' and patients'	48. Hillman SC, Skelton J, Quinlan-Jones E, Wilson A, Kilby MD. "If it helps..." the use of microarray	160411

	perceptions of needs in prenatal chromosomal microarray testing. Prenat Diagn 2015.	technology in prenatal testing: patient and partners reflections. Am J Med Genet A 2013;161a:1619-27.	
98	49. Hillman SC, Skelton J, Quinlan-Jones E, Wilson A, Kilby MD. "If it helps..." the use of microarray technology in prenatal testing: patient and partners reflections. Am J Med Genet A 2013;161a:1619-27.	49. Bernhardt BA, Soucier D, Hanson K, Savage MS, Jackson L, Wapner RJ. Women's experiences receiving abnormal prenatal chromosomal microarray testing results. Genet Med 2013;15:139-45.	160411
98	50. Bernhardt BA, Soucier D, Hanson K, Savage MS, Jackson L, Wapner RJ. Women's experiences receiving abnormal prenatal chromosomal microarray testing results. Genet Med 2013;15:139-45.	50. Kohler S, Doelken SC, Mungall CJ, Bauer S, Firth HV, Bailleul-Forestier I, et al. The Human Phenotype Ontology project: linking molecular biology and disease through phenotype data. Nucleic Acids Res 2014;42:D966-74.	160411
98	51. Kohler S, Doelken SC, Mungall CJ, Bauer S, Firth HV, Bailleul-Forestier I, et al. The Human Phenotype Ontology project: linking molecular biology and disease through phenotype data. Nucleic Acids Res 2014;42:D966-74.	51. HPO. Human Phenotype Ontology. Hämtat från http://human-phenotype-ontology.github.io/ 2015-11-24; 2015.	160411
98	52. PO. Human Phenotype Ontology. Hämtat från http://human-phenotype-ontology.github.io/ 2015-11-24 2015.	52. Bunnik EM, de Jong A, Nijssingh N, de Wert GM. The new genetics and informed consent: differentiating choice to preserve autonomy. Bioethics 2013;27:348-55.	160411
98	53. Bunnik EM, de Jong A, Nijssingh N, de Wert GM. The new genetics and informed consent: differentiating choice to preserve autonomy. Bioethics 2013;27:348-55.	53. Dondorp WJ, de Wert GM. The 'thousand-dollar genome': an ethical exploration. Eur J Hum Genet 2013;21 Suppl 1:S6-26.	160411
98	54. Dondorp WJ, de Wert GM. The 'thousand-dollar genome': an ethical exploration. Eur J Hum Genet 2013;21 Suppl 1:S6-26.	54. Netzer C, Schmitz D, Henn W. To know or not to know the genomic sequence of a fetus. Nat Rev Genet 2012;13:676-7.	160411
98	55. Netzer C, Schmitz D, Henn W. To know or not to know the genomic sequence of a fetus. Nat Rev Genet 2012;13:676-7.	55. Novelli A, Cavalli P, Bernardini L. The future of prenatal diagnosis: karyotype, microarray or both? Technical and ethical considerations 2013;10:131-4.	160411

98	56. Novelli A, Cavalli P, Bernardini L. The future of prenatal diagnosis: karyotype, microarray or both? Technical and ethical considerations. 2013;10:131-4.	56. Bianchi DW. From prenatal genomic diagnosis to fetal personalized medicine: progress and challenges. Nat Med 2012; 18:1041-51.	160411
98	57. Bianchi DW. From prenatal genomic diagnosis to fetal personalized medicine: progress and challenges. Nat Med 2012; 18:1041-51.	57. de Jong A, Dondorp WJ, Macville MV, de Die-Smulders CE, van Lith JM, de Wert GM. Microarrays as a diagnostic tool in prenatal screening strategies: ethical reflection. Hum Genet 2014; 133:163-72.	160411
98	58. de Jong A, Dondorp WJ, Macville MV, de Die-Smulders CE, van Lith JM, de Wert GM. Microarrays as a diagnostic tool in prenatal screening strategies: ethical reflection. Hum Genet 2014; 133:163-72.	58. Dondorp W, Sikkema-Raddatz B, de Die-Smulders C, de Wert G. Arrays in postnatal and prenatal diagnosis: An exploration of the ethics of consent. Hum Mutat 2012;33:916-22.	160411
98	59. Dondorp W, Sikkema-Raddatz B, de Die-Smulders C, de Wert G. Arrays in postnatal and prenatal diagnosis: An exploration of the ethics of consent. Hum Mutat 2012;33:916-22.	59. Juth N. Genetic Information-Values and Rights. The morality of presymptomatic genetic testing. Acta philosophica Gothoburgensia/Acta Universitatis Gothoburgensis; 2005. ISBN 91-7346-534-8	160411
98	60. Juth N. Genetic Information – Values and Rights. The morality of presymptomatic genetic testing. Acta philosophica Gothoburgensia/Acta Universitatis Gothoburgensis 2005.	60. Munthe C. The Moral Roots of Prenatal Diagnosis: Ethical Aspects of the Early Introduction and Presentation of Prenatal Diagnosis in Sweden, Hämtad från: http://bit.ly/1CbsFnx Studies in Research Ethics, Gothenburg. 1996.	160411
98	61. Munthe C. The Moral Roots of Prenatal Diagnosis: Ethical Aspects of the Early Introduction and Presentation of Prenatal Diagnosis in Sweden, Hämtad från: http://bit.ly/1CbsFnx Studies in Research Ethics, Gothenburg. 1996.	61. Hern WM. Fetal diagnostic indications for second and third trimester outpatient pregnancy termination. Prenat Diagn 2014;34:438-44.	160411
98	62. Hern WM. Fetal diagnostic indications for second and third trimester outpatient pregnancy termination. Prenat Diagn 2014;34:438-44.	62. Beauchamp TL, Childress JF. Principles of biomedical ethics. Oxford University Press; 2009.	160411

98-99	63. Beauchamp TL, Childress JF. Principles of biomedical ethics. . Oxford University Press 2009.	63. Mackie FL, Carss KJ, Hillman SC, Hurles ME, Kilby MD, Author A, et al. Exome sequencing in fetuses with structural malformations. J Clin Med 2014;3:747-62.	160411
99	64. Mackie FL, Carss KJ, Hillman SC, Hurles ME, Kilby MD, Author A, et al. Exome sequencing in fetuses with structural malformations. Journal of Clinical Medicine 2014;3:747-762.	64. Riedijk S, Diderich KEM, van der Steen SL, Govaerts LCP, Joosten M, Knapen MFCM, et al. The psychological challenges of replacing conventional karyotyping with genomic SNP array analysis in prenatal testing. J Clin Med 2014;3:713-23.	160411
99	65. Riedijk S, Diderich KEM, van der Steen SL, Govaerts LCP, Joosten M, Knapen MFCM, et al. The psychological challenges of replacing conventional karyotyping with genomic SNP array analysis in prenatal testing. Journal of Clinical Medicine 2014;3:713-723.	65. Westerfield L, Darilek S, Van Den Veyver IB, Author A, Department of M, Human, et al. Counseling challenges with variants of uncertain significance and incidental findings in prenatal genetic screening and diagnosis. J Clin Med 2014;3:1018-32.	160411
99	66. Westerfield L, Darilek S, Van Den Veyver IB, Author A, Department of M, Human, et al. Counseling challenges with variants of uncertain significance and incidental findings in prenatal genetic screening and diagnosis. Journal of Clinical Medicine 2014;3:1018-1032.	66. Hillman SC, Willams D, Carss KJ, McMullan DJ, Hurles ME, Kilby MD. Review: Prenatal genetic diagnosis for fetuses with structural abnormalities, the next step. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2015;45:4-9.	160411
99	67. Hillman SC, Willams D, Carss KJ, McMullan DJ, Hurles ME, Kilby MD. Review: Prenatal genetic diagnosis for fetuses with structural abnormalities, the next step. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2014	67. Crolla JA, Wapner R, Van Lith JM. Controversies in prenatal diagnosis 3: should everyone undergoing invasive testing have a microarray? Prenat Diagn 2014;34:18-22.	160411
99	68. Crolla JA, Wapner R, Van Lith JM. Controversies in prenatal diagnosis 3: should everyone undergoing invasive testing have a microarray? Prenat Diagn 2014;34:18-22.	68. Beaudet AL. Ethical issues raised by common copy number variants and single nucleotide polymorphisms of certain and uncertain significance in general medical practice. Genome Med 2010;2:42.	160411

99	69. Beaudet AL. Ethical issues raised by common copy number variants and single nucleotide polymorphisms of certain and uncertain significance in general medical practice. <i>Genome Med</i> 2010;2:42.	69. Wellesley DG, Lucassen A. Prenatal diagnosis of chromosomal imbalances. <i>Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed</i> 2014;99:F338-41.	160411
99	70. Wellesley DG, Lucassen A. Prenatal diagnosis of chromosomal imbalances. <i>Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed</i> 2014;99:F338-41.	70. Stark Z, Gillam L, Walker SP, McGillivray G. Ethical controversies in prenatal microarray. <i>Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol</i> 2013;25:133-7.	160411
99	71. Stark Z, Gillam L, Walker SP, McGillivray G. Ethical controversies in prenatal microarray. <i>Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol</i> 2013;25:133-7.	71. Committee Opinion No. 581: the use of chromosomal microarray analysis in prenatal diagnosis. <i>Obstet Gynecol</i> 2013;Dec 122(6):1374-7.	160411
99	72. COG ACoOaGCoG. Committee Opinion No. 581: the use of chromosomal microarray analysis in prenatal diagnosis. <i>Obstet Gynecol</i> 2013;Dec 122(6):1374-7.	72. Donley G, Hull SC, Berkman BE. Prenatal whole genome sequencing: just because we can, should we? <i>Hastings Cent Rep</i> 2012;42:28-40.	160411
99	73. Donley G, Hull SC, Berkman BE. Prenatal whole genome sequencing: just because we can, should we? <i>Hastings Center Report</i> 2012;42:28-40.	73. Petersen OB, Vogel I, Ekelund C, Hyett J, Tabor A. Potential diagnostic consequences of applying non-invasive prenatal testing: population-based study from a country with existing first-trimester screening. <i>Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol</i> 2014;43:265-71.	160411
99	74. Petersen OB, Vogel I, Ekelund C, Hyett J, Tabor A. Potential diagnostic consequences of applying non-invasive prenatal testing: population-based study from a country with existing first-trimester screening. <i>Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol</i> 2014;43:265-71.	74. Socialstyrelsen. Socialstyrelsens föreskrifter och allmänna råd om fosterdiagnostik och preimplantatorisk genetisk diagnostik, hämtat 2015-12-04 från http://www.socialstyrelsen.se/publikationer2012/2012-12-34 . 2012.	160411
99	75. Socialstyrelsen. Socialstyrelsens föreskrifter och allmänna råd om fosterdiagnostik och preimplantatorisk genetisk diagnostik, hämtat från http://www.socialstyrelsen.se/pu	75. Dhillon RK, Hillman SC, Morris RK, McMullan D, Williams D, Coomarasamy A, et al. Additional information from chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA) over conventional karyotyping when diagnosing chromosomal	160411

	blikationer2012/2012-12-34 2015-12-04. 2012.	abnormalities in miscarriage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BJOG 2014;121:11-21.	
99	76. Dhillon RK, Hillman SC, Morris RK, McMullan D, Williams D, Coomarasamy A, et al. Additional information from chromosomal micro-array analysis (CMA) over conventional karyotyping when diagnosing chromosomal abnormalities in miscarriage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Bjog 2014;121:11-21.	76. Kooper AJA, Faas BHW, Feenstra I, De Leeuw N, Smeets DFCM, Author A, et al. Best diagnostic approach for the genetic evaluation of fetuses after intrauterine death in first, second or third trimester: QF-PCR, karyotyping and/or genome wide SNP array analysis. Molecular Cytogenetics 2014;7:1 Article Number: 6.	160411
99	77. Kooper AJA, Faas BHW, Feenstra I, De Leeuw N, Smeets DFCM, Author A, et al. Best diagnostic approach for the genetic evaluation of fetuses after intrauterine death in first, second or third trimester: QF-PCR, karyotyping and/or genome wide SNP array analysis. Molecular Cytogenetics 2014;7:1 Article Number: 6.	77. Levy B, Sigurjonsson S, Pettersen B, Maisenbacher MK, Hall MP, Demko Z, et al. Genomic imbalance in products of conception: single-nucleotide polymorphism chromosomal microarray analysis. Obstet Gynecol 2014;124:202-9.	160411
99	78. Levy B, Sigurjonsson S, Pettersen B, Maisenbacher MK, Hall MP, Demko Z, et al. Genomic imbalance in products of conception: single-nucleotide polymorphism chromosomal microarray analysis. Obstet Gynecol 2014;124:202-9.	78. Sahlin E, Gustavsson P, Lieden A, Papadogiannakis N, Bjareborn L, Pettersson K, et al. Molecular and cytogenetic analysis in stillbirth: results from 481 consecutive cases. Fetal Diagn Ther 2014;36:326-32.	160411
99	79. Sahlin E, Gustavsson P, Lieden A, Papadogiannakis N, Bjareborn L, Pettersson K, et al. Molecular and cytogenetic analysis in stillbirth: results from 481 consecutive cases. Fetal Diagn Ther 2014;36:326-32	79. Bernhardt BA, Kellom K, Barbarese A, Faucett WA, Wapner RJ. An exploration of genetic counselors' needs and experiences with prenatal chromosomal microarray testing. J Genet Couns 2014;23: 938-47.	160411
99	80. Bernhardt BA, Kellom K, Barbarese A, Faucett WA, Wapner RJ. An exploration of genetic counselors' needs and	80. (none)	160411

	experiences with prenatal chromosomal micro -array testing. J Genet Couns 2014;23: 938-47.		
--	--	--	--