

Appendix 4 Excluded studies

Excluded original studies page 1–30

Excluded health economic studies page 30–31

[Prostate neoplasms – tumor grading and magnetic resonance spectroscopy]. Rofo 2005;177:1342.	Not original study
Akin O, Agildere AM, Ersoy H, Ozen H, Tekin I, Ayhan A. Local staging of prostate cancer with endorectal surface coil MR imaging in a mid-field magnetic system. Clin Imaging 2003;27:47-51.	Not relevant PICO
Akin O, Sala E, Moskowitz CS, Kuroiwa K, Ishill NM, Pucar D, et al. Transition zone prostate cancers: features, detection, localization, and staging at endorectal MR imaging. Radiology 2006;239:784-92.	Not relevant PICO
Allen DJ, Hindley R, Clovis S, O'Donnell P, Cahill D, Rottenberg G, et al. Does body-coil magnetic-resonance imaging have a role in the preoperative staging of patients with clinically localized prostate cancer? BJU Int 2004;94:534-8.	Not relevant PICO
Altwein JE, Leitenberger A, Ay R. N-staging efficiency of lymphangiography and computerized tomography in prostate carcinoma. Urol Int 1984;39:178-83.	Not relevant PICO
Ameye F, Van Poppel H, Oyen R, Vande Voorde W, Baert L. Lymph node staging by CT scan before radical prostatectomy. Acta Urol Belg 1994;62:57.	Not original study
Amis ES, Jr. Role of CT and CT-guided nodal biopsy in staging of prostatic cancer. Radiology 1994;190:309-10.	Not original study
Anwar MM, Westphalen AC, Jung A, Noworolski S, Kurhanewicz J, Simko JP, et al. Role of endorectal MRI and MRSI in defining the dominant intraprostatic lesion in prostate cancer: Quantitative analysis of imaging contour compared to whole-mount histopathology. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;84(3 SUPPL 1):S403.	Not original study
Arenas JL, Canby-Hagino E. The efficacy of 11 carbon acetate PET scan in the staging and management of prostate cancer. J Urol 2009;181:781.	Not original study
Armitage JN, Igali L, Ball RY, Mills RD, Kumar V. Comparison of body-array MRI and Partin tables for predicting extracapsular prostate cancer. Journal of Clinical Urology 2013;6:50-54.	Not relevant PICO

Armitage N, Igali L, Ball R, Mills R, Kumar V. Comparison of MRI and Partin's tables for predicting extracapsular prostate cancer. <i>Br J Med Surg Urol</i> 2010;3:263.	Not original study
Augustin H, Fritz GA, Ehammer T, Auپرich M, Pummer K. Accuracy of 3-Tesla magnetic resonance imaging for the staging of prostate cancer in comparison to the Partin tables. <i>Acta Radiol</i> 2009;50:562-9.	Not relevant PICO
Bannowsky A, Loch AC, Baurle L, Loch T. Transrectal ultrasound (TRUS) in preoperative staging of prostate cancer in comparison to the experience of the urologist. <i>European Urology, Supplements</i> 2009;8:126.	Not original study
Barentsz JO, Engelbrecht M, Jager GJ, Witjes JA, de LaRosette J, van Der Sanden BP, et al. Fast dynamic gadolinium-enhanced MR imaging of urinary bladder and prostate cancer. <i>J Magn Reson Imaging</i> 1999;10:295-304.	Not original study
Bartolozzi C, Menchi I, Lencioni R, Serni S, Lapini A, Barbanti G, et al. Local staging of prostate carcinoma with endorectal coil MRI: correlation with whole-mount radical prostatectomy specimens. <i>Eur Radiol</i> 1996;6:339-45.	Not relevant PICO
Bates TS, Cavanagh PM, Speakman M, Gillatt DA. Endorectal MRI using a 0.5 T mid-field system in the staging of localized prostate cancer. <i>Clin Radiol</i> 1996;51:550-3.	Not relevant PICO
Bates TS, Gillatt DA, Cavanagh PM, Speakman M. A comparison of endorectal magnetic resonance imaging and transrectal ultrasonography in the local staging of prostate cancer with histopathological correlation. <i>Br J Urol</i> 1997;79:927-32.	Not relevant PICO
Beatty J, Challacombe B, Lavan L, Wan E, Dudderidge T, Rashid T, et al. MRI prior to robotic prostatectomy over estimates T3 pathological stage. <i>J Urol</i> 2010;183:e781-e82.	Not original study
Beer M, Schmidt H, Riedl R. [The clinical value of preoperative staging of bladder and prostatic cancers with nuclear magnetic resonance and computerized tomography]. <i>Urologe A</i> 1989;28:65-9.	Not relevant PICO
Beheshti M, Imamovic L, Broinger G, Vali R, Waldenberger P, Stoiber F, et al. 18F choline PET/CT in the preoperative staging of prostate cancer in patients with intermediate or high risk of extracapsular disease: a prospective study of 130 patients. <i>Radiology</i> 2010;254:925-33.	Not relevant PICO
Benson KH, Watson RA, Spring DB, Agee RE. The value of computerized tomography in evaluation of pelvis lymph nodes. <i>J Urol</i> 1981;126:63-64.	Not relevant PICO

Bernstein MR, Cangiano T, D'Amico A, Chittams J, Hardy C, Whittington RD, et al. Endorectal coil magnetic resonance imaging and clinicopathologic findings in T1c adenocarcinoma of the prostate. <i>Urol Oncol</i> 2000;5:104-07.	Not relevant PICO
Beroukhir K, Tan N, Khin H, Lu DY, Margolis DJ, Reiter RE, et al. Characterization of prostate cancer on multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging: Correlation with histopathology. <i>Journal of Investigative Medicine</i> 2013;61:229.	Not original study
Beyersdorff D, Darsow U, Stephan C, Schnorr D, Loening S, Taupitz M. [MRI of prostate cancer using three different coil systems: image quality, tumor detection, and staging]. <i>Rofo</i> 2003;175:799-805.	Not relevant PICO
Beyersdorff D, Taymoorian K, Knosel T, Schnorr D, Felix R, Hamm B, et al. MRI of prostate cancer at 1.5 and 3.0 T: comparison of image quality in tumor detection and staging. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 2005;185:1214-20.	Not relevant PICO
Biondetti PR, Lee JK, Ling D, Catalona WJ. Clinical stage B prostate carcinoma: staging with MR imaging. <i>Radiology</i> 1987;162:325-9.	Not relevant PICO
Birkhauser FD, Studer UE, Froehlich JM, Triantafyllou M, Bains LJ, Petralia G, et al. Combined ultrasmall superparamagnetic particles of iron oxide-enhanced and diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging facilitates detection of metastases in normal-sized pelvic lymph nodes of patients with bladder and prostate cancer. <i>Eur Urol</i> 2013;64:953-60.	Not relevant PICO
Birkhauser FD, Studer UE, Triantafyllou M, Petralia G, Froehlich JM, Thalmann GN, et al. Detection of lymph node metastases in patients with clinically localized bladder and prostate cancer is improved by combined ultrasmall superparamagnetic particles of iron oxide (USPIO)- Enhanced and diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (DW-MRI). <i>J Urol</i> 2013;189(4 SUPPL 1):e900.	Not original study
Bishop K, Layton J, Furman M, Maddox M, Grand D, Renzulli J, et al. Prostate mri to evaluate extra-capsular extension and seminal vesicle invasion in prostate cancer in a community setting. <i>J Urol</i> 2013;189(4 SUPPL. 1):e510-e11.	Not original study
Blasco Casares F, Gibanel Garanto R. Local staging of prostate cancer; correlation between endorectal magnetic resonance imaging and pathological findings after radical prostatectomy in habitual clinical use. <i>Urology</i> 2011;78:S341.	Not original study
Blaszczyk P, Mucha-Malecka A, Blaszczyk E, Roszkowski K. Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance in the evaluation of stage prostate cancer. <i>Onkologia i Radioterapia</i> 2011;16:44-51.	Not relevant PICO

Bloch BN, Furman-Haran E, Helbich TH, Lenkinski RE, Degani H, Kratzik C, et al. Prostate cancer: accurate determination of extracapsular extension with high-spatial-resolution dynamic contrast-enhanced and T2-weighted MR imaging – initial results. <i>Radiology</i> 2007;245:176-85.	Not relevant PICO
Bloch BN, Genega EM, Costa DN, Pedrosa I, Smith MP, Kressel HY, et al. Prediction of prostate cancer extracapsular extension with high spatial resolution dynamic contrast-enhanced 3-T MRI. <i>Eur Radiol</i> 2012;22:2201-10.	Not relevant PICO
Bolton DM, Lawrentschuk N, Esler S, Liodakis P. Development of a seminal vesicle invasion index on multidetector CT versus MRI for preoperative assessment of seminal vesicle invasion in prostate cancer: A prospective study. <i>Urology</i> 2009;74:S214-S15.	Not original study
Boni RA, Hutter BE, Trinkler F, Jochum W, Pestalozzi D, Krestin GP. [Preoperative T-staging of prostatic carcinoma: endorectal magnetic resonance tomography compared with other imaging and clinical methods]. <i>Rofo</i> 1996;165:152-8.	Not relevant PICO
Borofsky MS, Rosenkrantz AB, Abraham N, Jain R, Taneja SS. Does suspicion of prostate cancer on integrated T2 and diffusion-weighted MRI predict more adverse pathology on radical prostatectomy? <i>Urology</i> 2013;81:1279-83.	Not relevant PICO
Borofsky MS, Rosenkrantz AB, Abraham NE, Jain R, Taneja SS. Does suspicion of prostate cancer by multiparametric MRI predict greater likelihood of more adverse pathology on radical prostatectomy? <i>J Urol</i> 2012;187:e147-e48.	Not original study
Borre M, Lundorf E, Marcussen N, Langkilde NC, Wolf H. Phased array magnetic resonance imaging for staging clinically localised prostate cancer. <i>Acta Oncol</i> 2005;44:589-92.	Not relevant PICO
Brajtbord JS, Lavery HJ, Nabizada-Pace F, Senaratne P, Samadi DB. Endorectal magnetic resonance imaging has limited clinical ability to preoperatively predict pT3 prostate cancer. <i>BJU Int</i> 2011;107:1419-24.	Unclear method
Brajtbord JS, Lavery HJ, Nabizada-Pace F, Senaratne P, Samadi DB. The ability of endorectal coil MRI to preoperatively predict seminal vesicle invasion. <i>Int J Med Robot</i> 2011;728-9.	Not original study
Brassell SA, Krueger WR, Choi JH, Taylor JA, 3rd. Correlation of endorectal coil magnetic resonance imaging of the prostate with pathologic stage. <i>World J Urol</i> 2004;22:289-92.	Not relevant PICO
Brausi M, De Luca G, Verrini G, Gavioli M, Peracchia G, Simonini G, et al. Radical Prostatectomy (RP) and Extensive Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection	Not original study

(EPLD) for clinical T3 (CT3) Prostate Cancer (PCa): Single center long term results. <i>Urology</i> 2011;78:S322.	
Brausi MA, De Luca GD, Gavioli M, Peracchia G, Verrini G, Viola M, et al. Radical prostatectomy (RP) with extended pelvic lymphadenectomy (EPLND) for pT3b-T4 prostate cancer (Pca): Long-term results of a single centre. <i>European Urology, Supplements</i> 2012;11:e778-e78a.	Not original study
Briganti A, Gallina A, Bianchi M, Tutolo M, Di Trapani E, Di Trapani D, et al. The value of computed tomography in detecting prostate cancer lymph node metastasis is negligible even in contemporary patients with very high risk of nodal involvement. <i>European Urology, Supplements</i> 2011;10:272.	Not original study
Briganti A, Suardi N, Gallina A, Capitanio U, Bianchi M, Tutolo M, et al. Lymphatic spread of nodal metastases in prostate cancer: A mapping single-institution study. <i>J Urol</i> 2010;183:e340.	Not relevant PICO
Brown JA, Rodin DM, Harisinghani M, Dahl DM. Impact of preoperative endorectal MRI stage classification on neurovascular bundle sparing aggressiveness and the radical prostatectomy positive margin rate. <i>Urol Oncol</i> 2009;27:174-9.	Not relevant PICO
Budiharto T, Joniau S, Lerut E, Haustermans K, Mottaghy F, Oyen R, et al. Evaluation of choline (CHOL) pet CT and diffusion weighted (DW) MRI for the nodal staging of prostate cancer (PCa) with a high risk of lymph node (LN) metastases. <i>European Urology, Supplements</i> , 2009;8:319.	Not original study
Budiharto T, Joniau S, Lerut E, Van den Bergh L, Mottaghy F, Deroose CM, et al. Prospective evaluation of 11C-choline positron emission tomography/computed tomography and diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging for the nodal staging of prostate cancer with a high risk of lymph node metastases. <i>Eur Urol</i> 2011;60:125-30.	Not relevant PICO
Budiharto T, Joniau S, Van Den Bergh L, Lerut E, Haustermans K, Mottaghy F, et al. Evaluation of choline pet CT and diffusion weighted MRI for the nodal staging of prostate cancer with a high risk of lymph node metastases. <i>European Urology, Supplements</i> 2010;9:80.	Not original study
Buonocore E, Hesemann C, Pavlicek W, Montie JE. Clinical and in vitro magnetic resonance imaging of prostatic carcinoma. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 1984;143:1267-72.	Not relevant PICO
Caire AA, Boonjindasup A, Bernie AM, Mitchell G, Thomas R, Lee BR. Is preoperative imaging in prostate cancer overused? An analysis of the 2010 National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines. <i>J Urol</i> 2011;185(4 SUPPL 1):e890.	Not original study

Caldas ME, Miranda LC, Bittencourt LK. Magnetic resonance imaging in staging of locoregional prostate cancer: comparison of results with analysis post-surgical histopathology. <i>Rev Col Bras Cir</i> 2010;37:447-9.	Unclear method
Campara Z, Milovic N, Aleksic P, Tosevski P, Spasic A, Simic D, et al. Our experiences in clinical and pathological staging of localized prostate cancer. <i>European Urology, Supplements</i> 2011;10:593.	Not original study
Carini M, Lapini A, Serni S, Menchi I. MRI with endorectal coil in the local staging of prostatic tumors. <i>Acta Urologica Italica</i> 1995;9:65-72.	Not relevant PICO
Carlani M, Mancino S, Bonanno E, Finazzi Agro E, Simonetti G. Combined morphological, [1H]-MR spectroscopic and contrast-enhanced imaging of human prostate cancer with a 3-Tesla scanner: preliminary experience. <i>Radiol Med</i> 2008;113:670-88.	Not relevant PICO
Carroll PR, Sugimura K, Cohen MB, Hricak H. Detection and staging of prostatic carcinoma after transurethral resection or open enucleation of the prostate: accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging. <i>J Urol</i> 1992;147:402-6.	Not relevant PICO
Castellucci P, Fuccio C, Rubello D, Schiavina R, Santi I, Nanni C, et al. Is there a role for (1)(1)C-choline PET/CT in the early detection of metastatic disease in surgically treated prostate cancer patients with a mild PSA increase <1.5 ng/ml? <i>Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging</i> 2011;38:55-63.	Not relevant PICO
Chamie K, Sonn GA, Finley DS, Tan N, Margolis DJ, Raman SS, et al. The role of magnetic resonance imaging in delineating clinically significant prostate cancer. <i>Urology</i> 2014;83:369-75.	Not relevant PICO
Chandra RV, Heinze S, Dowling R, Shadbolt C, Costello A, Pedersen J. Endorectal magnetic resonance imaging staging of prostate cancer. <i>ANZ J Surg</i> 2007;77:860-5.	Not relevant PICO
Chang CH, Wu HC, Tsai JJ, Shen YY, Changlai SP, Kao A. Detecting metastatic pelvic lymph nodes by 18F-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography in patients with prostate-specific antigen relapse after treatment for localized prostate cancer. <i>Urol Int</i> 2003;70:311-5.	Not relevant PICO
Chang JH, Joon DL, Lee ST, Gong SJ, Scott AM, Davis ID, et al. Histopathological correlation of (11)C-choline PET scans for target volume definition in radical prostate radiotherapy. <i>Radiother Oncol</i> 2011;99:187-92.	Not relevant PICO
Chelsky MJ, Schnall MD, Seidmon EJ, Pollack HM. Use of endorectal surface coil magnetic resonance imaging for local staging of prostate cancer. <i>J Urol</i> 1993;150:391-95.	Not relevant PICO

Chen D, Falzarano SM, McKenney J, Przybycin C, Reynolds J, Roma A, et al. Cumulative prostate cancer length in prostate biopsy cores improves prediction of clinically insignificant cancer at radical prostatectomy in patients eligible for active surveillance. <i>Lab Invest</i> 2013;93 SUPPL 1201A.	Not original study
Chen M, Dang HD, Wang JY, Zhou C, Li SY, Wang WC, et al. Prostate cancer detection: comparison of T2-weighted imaging, diffusion-weighted imaging, proton magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging, and the three techniques combined. <i>Acta Radiol</i> 2008;49:602-10.	Not relevant PICO
Cheng C, Kwek JW. HIFU for prostate cancer. <i>Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol</i> 2011;34414-15.	Not original study
Cheng LL, Burns MA, Taylor JL, He W, Halpern EF, McDougal WS, et al. Metabolic characterization of human prostate cancer with tissue magnetic resonance spectroscopy. <i>Cancer Res</i> 2005;65:3030-4.	Not relevant PICO
Chernyak V. Novel imaging modalities for lymph node imaging in urologic oncology. <i>Urol Clin North Am</i> , 2011;38:471-81, vii.	Not original study
Choi KH, Lee SH, Chung BH, Oh CY. Is endorectal coil prostate magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) necessary for the staging of localized prostate cancer? <i>European Urology, Supplements</i> 2009;8:319.	Not original study
Clyne M. Prostate cancer: PET - CT for pelvic node staging. <i>Nat Rev Urol</i> 2011;8:649.	Not original study
Coakley FV, Qayyum A, Kurhanewicz J. Magnetic resonance imaging and spectroscopic imaging of prostate cancer. <i>J Urol</i> 2003;170:S69-75; discussion S75-6.	Not original study
Colleselli D, Schilling D, Lichy MP, Hennenlotter J, Vogel UH, Krueger SA, et al. Topographical sensitivity and specificity of endorectal coil magnetic resonance imaging for prostate cancer detection. <i>Urol Int</i> 2010;84:388-94.	Not relevant PICO
Contractor KB, Challapalli A, Sharma R, Kenny LM, Maher L, Winkler M, et al. Determination of pelvic node status in patients with high-risk localized or locally advanced prostate cancer by [11c]choline PET-CT. <i>J Clin Oncol</i> 2010;28(15).	Not original study
Cordes M, Tunn UW, Neidl K, Haasner E. [Prostatic cancer. Staging via transrectal prostatic sonography and computed tomography with histopathological correlation]. <i>Rofo</i> 1987;146:412-4.	Not relevant PICO
Cordes M, Tunn UW, Neidl K, Haasner E. Prostate carcinoma: Staging with transrectal prostatic sonography and CT, with histopathological correlation. <i>Rofo</i> 1987;146:412-14.	Not relevant PICO

Cornud F, Belin X, Flam T, Chretien Y, Deslignieres S, Paraf F, et al. Local staging of prostate cancer by endorectal MRI using fast spin-echo sequences: prospective correlation with pathological findings after radical prostatectomy. <i>Br J Urol</i> 1996;77:843-50.	Not relevant PICO
Cornud F, Hamida K, Flam T, Helenon O, Chretien Y, Thiounn N, et al. Endorectal color doppler sonography and endorectal MR imaging features of nonpalpable prostate cancer: correlation with radical prostatectomy findings. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 2000;175:1161-8.	Not relevant PICO
da Silva RC, Sasse AD, Matheus WE, Ferreira U. Magnetic resonance image in the diagnosis and evaluation of extra-prostatic extension and involvement of seminal vesicles of prostate cancer: a systematic review of literature and meta-analysis. <i>Int Braz J Urol</i> 2013;39:155-66.	Not original study
D'Amico AV, Schnall M, Whittington R, Malkowicz SB, Schultz D, Tomaszewski JE, et al. Endorectal coil magnetic resonance imaging identifies locally advanced prostate cancer in select patients with clinically localized disease. <i>Urology</i> 1998;51:449-54.	Not relevant PICO
D'Amico AV, Whittington R, Malkowicz SB, Schultz D, Schnall M, Tomaszewski JE, et al. Critical analysis of the ability of the endorectal coil magnetic resonance imaging scan to predict pathologic stage, margin status, and postoperative prostate-specific antigen failure in patients with clinically organ-confined prostate cancer. <i>J Clin Oncol</i> 1996;14:1770-7.	Not relevant PICO
D'Amico AV, Whittington R, Malkowicz SB, Wu YH, Chen M, Art M, et al. Combination of the preoperative PSA level, biopsy gleason score, percentage of positive biopsies, and MRI T-stage to predict early PSA failure in men with clinically localized prostate cancer. <i>Urology</i> 2000;55:572-7.	Not relevant PICO
D'Amico AV, Whittington R, Schnall M, Malkowicz SB, Tomaszewski JE, Schultz D, et al. The impact of the inclusion of endorectal coil magnetic resonance imaging in a multivariate analysis to predict clinically unsuspected extraprostatic cancer. <i>Cancer</i> 1995;75:2368-72.	Not relevant PICO
Davis JW, Achim M. Nerve sparing radical prostatectomy planning using T2 weighted endorectal coil MRI imaging. <i>European Urology, Supplements</i> 2011;10:271.	Not original study
Davis JW, Shah J, Achim M. High risk prostate cancer selected for robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: Surgical planning with image guidance. <i>J Endourol</i> 2010;24A88-A89.	Not original study
Deasy NP, Conry BG, Lewis JL, Ford TF, Russell GA, Basu R, et al. Local staging of prostate cancer with 0.2 T body coil MRI. <i>Clin Radiol</i> 1997;52:933-7.	Not relevant PICO

Delongchamps NB, Beuvon F, Eiss D, Flam T, Muradyan N, Zerbib M, et al. Multiparametric MRI is helpful to predict tumor focality, stage, and size in patients diagnosed with unilateral low-risk prostate cancer. <i>Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis</i> 2011;14:232-7.	Not relevant PICO
Delongchamps NB, Rouanne M, Flam T, Beuvon F, Liberatore M, Zerbib M, et al. Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging for the detection and localization of prostate cancer: combination of T2-weighted, dynamic contrast-enhanced and diffusion-weighted imaging. <i>BJU Int</i> 2011;107:1411-8.	Not relevant PICO
Denkhaus H, Dierkopf W, Grabbe E, Donn F. Comparative study of suprapubic sonography and computed tomography for staging of prostatic carcinoma. <i>Urol Radiol</i> 1983;5:1-9.	Not relevant PICO
Dickinson L, Ahmed HU, Moore C, Freeman A, Kirkham A, Allen C, et al. The effects of the time period between biopsy and diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging on cancer staging in localized prostate cancer. <i>BJU Int</i> 2010;106:131-32.	Not original study
Douaihy YE, Mudaliar K, Lawlor A, Fung J, Peters D, Srivastava A, et al. Anatomic distribution of Extracapsular extension and surgical margin positivity in patients undergoing robotic radical prostatectomy: Implications for surgical technique. <i>J Urol</i> 2010;183:e603-e04.	Not original study
Drago JR, Badalament RA, Nesbitt JA, Geraniotis E, Horchak A. Localized staging of prostate carcinoma: comparison of transrectal ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging. <i>Urology</i> 1990;35:511-2.	Not original study
Dundee PE, Hutton AC, Eden CG. [(1)(8)F]-fluorocholine positron-emission/computed tomography for lymph node staging of patients with prostate cancer: preliminary results of a prospective study. <i>BJU Int</i> , 2011;107:158-9; author reply 59.	Not original study
Ekici S, Ozen H, Agildere M, Ergen A, Ozkardes H, Ayhan A, et al. A comparison of transrectal ultrasonography and endorectal magnetic resonance imaging in the local staging of prostatic carcinoma. <i>BJU Int</i> 1999;83:796-800.	Not relevant PICO
Ekman P. Predicting pelvic lymph node involvement in patients with localized prostate cancer. <i>Eur Urol</i> 1997;32 Suppl 360-4.	Not original study
Engelbrecht MR, Jager GJ, Severens JL. Patient selection for magnetic resonance imaging of prostate cancer. <i>Eur Urol</i> 2001;40:300-7.	Not relevant PICO
Engelhard K, Hollenbach HP, Rieger J, Kiefer B, Riedl C, Kreckel M, et al. [The use of new turbo spin-echo pulse sequences with and without fat	Not relevant PICO

suppression in the diagnosis and staging of prostatic carcinoma]. <i>Rofo</i> 1994;160:59-65.	
Eschmann SM, Pfannenbergs AC, Rieger A, Aschoff P, Muller M, Paulsen F, et al. Comparison of 11C-choline-PET/CT and whole body-MRI for staging of prostate cancer. <i>Nuklearmedizin</i> 2007;46:161-8; quiz N47-8.	Not relevant PICO
Evangelista L, Guttilla A, Zattoni F, Muzzio PC, Zattoni F. Utility of choline positron emission tomography/computed tomography for lymph node involvement identification in intermediate- to high-risk prostate cancer: A systematic literature review and meta-analysis. <i>Eur Urol</i> 2013;63:1040-48.	Not original study
Farsad M, Schiavina R, Franceschelli A, Sanguedolce F, Castellucci P, Bertaccini A, et al. Positron-emission tomography in imaging and staging prostate cancer. <i>Cancer Biomarkers</i> 2008;4:277-84.	Not original study
Fiori C, Mele F, Chiarissi ML, Poggio M, Ragni F, Russo F, et al. Can post-biopsy MRI help the surgeon on surgical procedure planning? <i>Anticancer Res</i> 2012;32:1952.	Not original study
Flanigan RC, Mohler JL, King CT, Atwell JR, Umer MA, Loh FK, et al. Preoperative lymph node evaluation in prostatic cancer patients who are surgical candidates: the role of lymphangiography and computerized tomography scanning with directed fine needle aspiration. <i>J Urol</i> 1985;134:84-7.	Not relevant PICO
Friedland GW. Staging of genitourinary cancers. The role of diagnostic imaging. <i>Cancer</i> 1987;60 (3 Suppl):450-8.	Not original study
Froehlich JM, Triantafyllou M, Fleischmann A, Vermathen P, Thalmann GN, Thoeny HC. Does quantification of USPIO uptake-related signal loss allow differentiation of benign and malignant normal-sized pelvic lymph nodes? <i>Contrast Media Mol Imaging</i> 2012;7:346-55.	Not relevant PICO
Futterer JJ, Engelbrecht MR, Huisman HJ, Jager GJ, Hulsbergen-van De Kaa CA, Witjes JA, et al. Staging prostate cancer with dynamic contrast-enhanced endorectal MR imaging prior to radical prostatectomy: experienced versus less experienced readers. <i>Radiology</i> 2005;237:541-9.	Not relevant PICO
Futterer JJ, Engelbrecht MR, Jager GJ, Hartman RP, King BF, Hulsbergen-Van de Kaa CA, et al. Prostate cancer: comparison of local staging accuracy of pelvic phased-array coil alone versus integrated endorectal-pelvic phased-array coils. Local staging accuracy of prostate cancer using endorectal coil MR imaging. <i>Eur Radiol</i> 2007;17:1055-65.	Not relevant PICO

Futterer JJ, Heijmink SW, Scheenen TW, Jager GJ, Hulsbergen-Van de Kaa CA, Witjes JA, et al. Prostate cancer: local staging at 3-T endorectal MR imaging – early experience. <i>Radiology</i> 2006;238:184-91.	Not relevant PICO
Futterer JJ, Scheenen TW, Heijmink SW, Huisman HJ, Hulsbergen-Van de Kaa CA, Witjes JA, et al. Standardized threshold approach using three-dimensional proton magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging in prostate cancer localization of the entire prostate. <i>Invest Radiol</i> 2007;42:116-22.	Not relevant PICO
Giovacchini G, Picchio M, Coradeschi E, Scattoni V, Bettinardi V, Cozzarini C, et al. [(11C)choline uptake with PET/CT for the initial diagnosis of prostate cancer: relation to PSA levels, tumour stage and anti-androgenic therapy. <i>Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging</i> 2008;35:1065-73.	Not relevant PICO
Giri PG, Walsh JW, Hazra TA, Texter JH, Koontz WW. Role of computed tomography in the evaluation and management of carcinoma of the prostate. <i>Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys</i> 1982;8:283-7.	Not relevant PICO
Goris Gbenou MC, Peltier A, Addla SK, Lemort M, Bollens R, Larsimont D, et al. Localising prostate cancer: comparison of endorectal magnetic resonance (MR) imaging and 3D-MR spectroscopic imaging with transrectal ultrasound-guided biopsy. <i>Urol Int</i> 2012;88:12-7.	Unclear method
Graser A, Heuck A, Sommer B, Massmann J, Scheidler J, Reiser M, et al. Per-sextant localization and staging of prostate cancer: correlation of imaging findings with whole-mount step section histopathology. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 2007;188:84-90.	Not relevant PICO
Haider MA, van der Kwast TH, Tanguay J, Evans AJ, Hashmi AT, Lockwood G, et al. Combined T2-weighted and diffusion-weighted MRI for localization of prostate cancer. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 2007;189:323-8.	Not relevant PICO
Hambrock T, Hoeks C, Hulsbergen-van de Kaa C, Scheenen T, Futterer J, Bouwense S, et al. Prospective assessment of prostate cancer aggressiveness using 3-T diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging-guided biopsies versus a systematic 10-core transrectal ultrasound prostate biopsy cohort. <i>Eur Urol</i> 2012;61:177-84.	Not relevant PICO
Hamm B. [Lymph node staging in prostatic and bladder carcinomas – a radiological dilemma]. <i>Rofo</i> 1994;161:1-2.	Not original study
Han M, Partin AW. Current Clinical Applications of the In-capromab Pentetide Scan (ProstaScint(R) Scan, Cyt-356). <i>Rev Urol</i> 2001;3:165-71.	Not relevant PICO
Hanks GE, Krall JM, Pilepich MV, Asbell SO, Perez CA, Rubin P, et al. Comparison of pathologic and clinical evaluation of lymph nodes in	Not relevant PICO

prostate cancer: implications of RTOG data for patient management and trial design and stratification. <i>Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys</i> 1992;23:293-8.	
Hanson R, Nandalur K. Staging of prostate cancer with MRI: A tutorial. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 2011;196(5 SUPPL):A176.	Not original study
Hara N, Okuizumi M, Koike H, Kawaguchi M, Bilim V. Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI) is a useful modality for the precise detection and staging of early prostate cancer. <i>Prostate</i> 2005;62:140-7.	Not relevant PICO
Hardie AD, Rieter WJ, Bradshaw ML, Gordon LL, Young MA, Keane TE. Improved performance of SPECT-CT In-111 capromab pendetide by correlation with diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging for identifying metastatic pelvic lymphadenopathy in prostate cancer. <i>World J Urol</i> , 2013.	Not relevant PICO
Harisinghani M, Ross RW, Guimaraes AR, Weissleder R. Utility of a new bolus-injectable nanoparticle for clinical cancer staging. <i>Neoplasia</i> , 2007;9:1160-5.	Not relevant PICO
Harisinghani MG, Barentsz J, Hahn PF, Deserno WM, Tabatabaei S, van de Kaa CH, et al. Noninvasive detection of clinically occult lymph-node metastases in prostate cancer. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 2003;348:2491-9.	Not relevant PICO
Harisinghani MG, Barentsz JO, Hahn PF, Deserno W, de la Rosette J, Saini S, et al. MR lymphangiography for detection of minimal nodal disease in patients with prostate cancer. <i>Acad Radiol</i> 2002;9 Suppl 2S:312-3.	Not relevant PICO
Harris RD, Schned AR, Heaney JA. Staging of prostate cancer with endorectal MR imaging: lessons from a learning curve. <i>Radiographics</i> 1995;15:813-29;discussion 29-32.	Not relevant PICO
Haseebuddin M, Dehdashti F, Siegel B, Liu J, Roth E, Nepple K, et al. 11C-acetate PET/CT before radical prostatectomy: Nodal staging and treatment failure prediction. <i>J Urol</i> 2012;187:e822-e23.	Not original study
Haseebuddin M, Dehdashti F, Siegel BA, Siegel CL, Fischer KC, Kibel AS, et al. 11c-acetate positron emission tomography/computed tomography for pre-operative lymph node staging in prostate cancer. <i>J Urol</i> 2009;181:780.	Not original study
Heesakkers RA, Jager GJ, Hovels AM, de Hoop B, van den Bosch HC, Raat F, et al. Prostate cancer: detection of lymph node metastases outside the routine surgical area with ferumoxtran-10-enhanced MR imaging. <i>Radiology</i> 2009;251:408-14.	Not relevant PICO

Heijmink SW, Futterer JJ, Hambroek T, Takahashi S, Scheenen TW, Huisman HJ, et al. Prostate cancer: body-array versus endorectal coil MR imaging at 3 T--comparison of image quality, localization, and staging performance. <i>Radiology</i> 2007;244:184-95.	Not relevant PICO
Hellstrom M, Schumacher M, Radecka E, Sundin A, Jakobsson H. 11c-acetate PET/CT imaging of prostate cancer lymph node metastases and correlation with lymphadenectomy and histopathology findings. <i>J Urol</i> 2013;189(4 SUPPL. 1):e100.	Not original study
Hernandez-Sanchez JE, Castillo E, Barbero JM, Lista F, Gonzalez J. Prediction of extracapsular disease with multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging in high risk localized prostate cancer patient. <i>Arch Esp Urol</i> 2013;66:956-66.	Not relevant PICO
Hoeks CM, Schouten MG, Bomers JG, Hoogendoorn SP, Hulsbergen-van de Kaa CA, Hambroek T, et al. Three-Tesla magnetic resonance-guided prostate biopsy in men with increased prostate-specific antigen and repeated, negative, random, systematic, transrectal ultrasound biopsies: Detection of clinically significant prostate cancers. <i>Eur Urol</i> 2012.	Not relevant PICO
Horiguchi A, Nakashima J, Horiguchi Y, Nakagawa K, Oya M, Ohigashi T, et al. Prediction of extraprostatic cancer by prostate specific antigen density, endorectal MRI, and biopsy Gleason score in clinically localized prostate cancer. <i>Prostate</i> 2003;56:23-9.	Not relevant PICO
Houston ST, Jones LW, Waluch V. Nuclear magnetic resonance imaging in detecting and staging prostatic cancer. <i>Urology</i> 1988;31:171-5.	Not relevant PICO
Hovels AM, Heesakkers RAM, Adang EM, Jager GJ, Strum S, Hoogeveen YL, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of CT and MRI in the staging of pelvic lymph nodes in patients with prostate cancer: a meta-analysis. <i>Clin Radiol</i> 2008;63:387-95.	Not original study
Hricak H. Noninvasive imaging for staging of prostate cancer: magnetic resonance imaging, computed tomography, and ultrasound. <i>NCI Monogr</i> 1988:31-5.	Not original study
Hricak H, Dooms GC, Jeffrey RB, Avallone A, Jacobs D, Benton WK, et al. Prostatic carcinoma: staging by clinical assessment, CT, and MR imaging. <i>Radiology</i> 1987;162:331-6.	Not relevant PICO
Hricak H, White S, Vigneron D, Kurhanewicz J, Kosco A, Levin D, et al. Carcinoma of the prostate gland: MR imaging with pelvic phased-array coils versus integrated endorectal – pelvic phased-array coils. <i>Radiology</i> 1994;193:703-9.	Not relevant PICO

Huch Boni RA, Boner JA, Debatin JF, Trinkler F, Knonagel H, Von Hochstetter A, et al. Optimization of prostate carcinoma staging: comparison of imaging and clinical methods. Clin Radiol 1995;50:593-600.	Not relevant PICO
Huch Boni RA, Hutter BE, Trinkler F, Jochum W, Pestalozzi D, Krestin GP. Preoperative T-staging of prostate carcinoma: Endorectal MRI compared with other imaging and clinical methods. RoFo Fortschritte auf dem Gebiete der Rontgenstrahlen und der Neuen Bildgebenden Verfahren, 1996;165:152-58.	Not relevant PICO
Husarik DB, Miralbell R, Dubs M, John H, Giger OT, Gelet A, et al. Evaluation of [(18)F]-choline PET/CT for staging and restaging of prostate cancer. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2008;35:253-63.	Not relevant PICO
Hwii Ko Y, Jae Sung D, Gu Kang S, Ho Kang S, Gu Lee J, Jong Kim J, et al. The predictability of T3 disease in staging MRI following prostate biopsy decreases in patients with high initial PSA and Gleason score. Asian J Androl 2011;13:487-93.	Not relevant PICO
Isebaert S, De Keyzer F, Haustermans K, Lerut E, Roskams T, Roebben I, et al. Evaluation of semi-quantitative dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI parameters for prostate cancer in correlation to whole-mount histopathology. Eur J Radiol 2012;81:e217-22.	Not relevant PICO
Isebaert S, Van den Bergh L, Haustermans K, Joniau S, Lerut E, De Wever L, et al. Multiparametric MRI for prostate cancer localization in correlation to whole-mount histopathology. J Magn Reson Imaging 2013;37:1392-401.	Not relevant PICO
Ives EP, Burke MA, Edmonds PR, Gomella LG, Halpern EJ. Quantitative computed tomography perfusion of prostate cancer: correlation with whole-mount pathology. Clin Prostate Cancer 2005;4:109-12.	Not relevant PICO
Jager GJ, Barentsz JO, de la Rosette JJ, Rosenbusch G. Preliminary results of endorectal surface coil magnetic resonance imaging for local staging of prostate cancer. Radiologe 1994;34:129-33.	Not relevant PICO
Jager GJ, Barentsz JO, Oosterhof GO, Witjes JA, Ruijs SJ. Pelvic adenopathy in prostatic and urinary bladder carcinoma: MR imaging with a three-dimensional TI-weighted magnetization-prepared-rapid gradient-echo sequence. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1996;167:1503-7.	Not relevant PICO
Jager GJ, Ruijter ET, van de Kaa CA, de la Rosette JJ, Oosterhof GO, Thornbury JR, et al. Local staging of prostate cancer with endorectal MR imaging: correlation with histopathology. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1996;166:845-52.	Not relevant PICO

Jager GJ, Ruijter ET, van de Kaa CA, de la Rosette JJ, Oosterhof GO, Thornbury JR, et al. Dynamic TurboFLASH subtraction technique for contrast-enhanced MR imaging of the prostate: correlation with histopathologic results. <i>Radiology</i> 1997;203:645-52.	Not relevant PICO
Jager GJ, Severens JL, Thornbury JR, de La Rosette JJ, Ruijs SH, Barentsz JO. Prostate cancer staging: should MR imaging be used? – A decision analytic approach. <i>Radiology</i> 2000;215:445-51.	Not original study
Janane A, Hajji F, Ismail TO, Elondo JC, Ghadouan M, Ameer A, et al. Endorectal MRI accuracy and its staging evaluation contribution in prostate cancer: a North African ethnic group. <i>Int Urol Nephrol</i> 2010;1-6.	Not original study Retracted
Janane A, Hajji F, Ismail TO, Elondo JC, Ghadouane M, Ameer A, et al. Endorectal MRI accuracy in auguring tumour location, tumour extent, capsular perforation and seminal vesicle invasion of prostate cancer in north-African men. <i>Eur J Radiol</i> (2011).	Not original study Retracted
Jang HJ, Song W, Jeong WS, Seo YS, Jeon HG, Jeong BC, et al. Accuracy of phased-array 3.0-T MR imaging in predicting extracapsular extension and influence on the decision to preserve neurovascular bundles at robotic assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. <i>J Urol</i> 2013;189(4 SUPPL 1):e99.	Not original study
Jeong CW, Cho MC, Park YH, Baik S, Ha SB, Jeong H, et al. Are prostate biopsy and MRI predictive of the laterality of clinically localized prostate cancer? <i>European Urology, Supplements</i> 2010;9:52-53.	Not original study
Johnston R, Neal D, Shah N, Wong LM. Accuracy of standard MRI in staging prostate cancer. <i>BJU International</i> 2011;108:45.	Not original study
Johnston R, Wong LM, Warren A, Shah N, Neal D. The role of 1.5 Tesla magnetic resonance imaging in staging prostate cancer. <i>ANZ J Surg</i> 2013;83:234-8.	Not relevant PICO
Joniau S, Van den Bergh L, Lerut E, Deroose CM, Haustermans K, Oyen R, et al. Mapping of pelvic lymph node metastases in prostate cancer. E-publ 2012. <i>Eur Urol</i> 2013;63:450-8.	Not relevant PICO
Jung AJ, Coakley FV, Shinohara K, Carroll PR, Kurhanewicz J, Cowan JE, et al. Local staging of prostate cancer: comparative accuracy of T2-weighted endorectal MR imaging and transrectal ultrasound. <i>Clin Imaging</i> 2012;36:547-52.	Not relevant PICO
Karavitakis M, Ahmed HU, Abel PD, Hazell S, Winkler MH. Relationship of radical prostatectomy margin status and index lesion: Implications for preoperative evaluation of tumour focality in prostate cancer. <i>European Urology, Supplements</i> 2011;10:300.	Not original study

Karavitakis M, Ahmed HU, Abel PD, Hazell S, Winkler MH. Margin status after laparoscopic radical prostatectomy and the index lesion: implications for preoperative evaluation of tumor focality in prostate cancer. <i>J Endourol</i> 2012;26:503-8.	Duplicate
Khan O, Pearse E, Bowley N, Williams G, Krausz T. Combined bipedal lymphangiography, CT scanning and transabdominal lymph node aspiration cytology for node staging in carcinoma of the prostate. <i>Br J Urol</i> 1983;55:538-41.	Not relevant PICO
Kier R, Wain S, Troiano R. Fast spin-echo MR images of the pelvis obtained with a phased-array coil: value in localizing and staging prostatic carcinoma. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 1993;161:601-6.	Not relevant PICO
Kim B, Breau RH, Papadatos D, Fergusson D, Doucette S, Cagiannos I, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of surface coil magnetic resonance imaging at 1.5 T for local staging of elevated risk prostate cancer. <i>Can Urol Assoc J</i> 2010;4:257-62.	Not relevant PICO
Kim BS, Kim TH, Kwon TG, Yoo ES. Comparison of pelvic phased-array versus endorectal coil magnetic resonance imaging at 3 Tesla for local staging of prostate cancer. <i>Yonsei Med J</i> 2012;53:550-6.	Not relevant PICO
Kim W, Kim J, Park S, Choi D, Song C, Ahn H. Preoperative predicting factors of positive surgical margin at the apex after radical prostatectomy: Usefulness of MRI. <i>J Urol</i> 2012;187:e657.	Not original study
Kjohhede H, Ahlgren G, Almquist H, Liedberg F, Lyttkens K, Ohlsson T, et al. Combined 18F-fluorocholine and 18F-fluoride positron emission tomography/computed tomography imaging for staging of high-risk prostate cancer. E-publ 2012. <i>BJU Int</i> 2012;110:1501-6.	Not relevant PICO
Koh DM, Cook GJ, Husband JE. New horizons in oncologic imaging. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 2003;348:2487-8.	Not original study
Kotzerke J, Prang J, Neumaier B, Volkmer B, Guhlmann A, Kleinschmidt K, et al. Experience with carbon-11 choline positron emission tomography in prostate carcinoma. <i>Eur J Nucl Med</i> 2000;27:1415-19.	Not relevant PICO
Krause BJ. PET and PET/CT for diagnosis and staging primary prostate cancer. <i>Strahlenther Onkol</i> 2011;187:596.	Not original study
Kuhn M, Huttmann P, Spielhauer E, Gross-Fengels W, Schreiter F. Clinical value of native and contrast-enhanced MRI for staging prostatic carcinoma prior to radical prostatectomy. <i>RoFo Fortschritte auf dem Gebiet der Rontgenstrahlen und der Bildgebenden Verfahren</i> 2001;173:595-600.	Not relevant PICO

Kundra V. Prostate cancer imaging. <i>Semin Roentgenol</i> 2006;41:139-49.	Not original study
Kwee SA, Coel MN, Lim J, Ko JP. Prostate cancer localization with 18fluorine fluorocholine positron emission tomography. <i>J Urol</i> 2005;173:252-55.	Not relevant PICO
Kwek JW, Thng CH, Tan PH, Yuen JS, Khoo JB, Quek ST, et al. Phased-array magnetic resonance imaging of the prostate with correlation to radical prostatectomy specimens: local experience. <i>Asian J Surg</i> 2004;27:219-24;discussion 25-6.	Not relevant PICO
Lange PH. PROSTASCINT scan for staging prostate cancer. <i>Urology</i> 2001;57:402-6.	Not original study
Langer DL, Van Der Kwast TH, Evans AJ, Trachtenberg J, Wilson BC, Haider MA. Prostate MRI for cancer localization-What are we missing? <i>J Endourol</i> 2009;23:A21.	Not original study
Langsteger W, Loidl W, Haim S, Kunit T, Valencia R, Lesche R, et al. Phase I study: BAY 1075553 in comparison with 18F-FCH PET/CT in staging and re-staging of prostate cancer patients. <i>Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging</i> , 2012;39 SUPPL 2:S255.	Not original study
Latchamsetty KC, Borden LS, Jr., Porter CR, Lacrampe M, Vaughan M, Lin E, et al. Experience improves staging accuracy of endorectal magnetic resonance imaging in prostate cancer: what is the learning curve? <i>Can J Urol</i> 2007;14:3429-34.	Not relevant PICO
Lee HW, Seo SI, Jeon SS, Lee HM, Choi HY. Can we predict real T3 stage prostate cancer in patients with clinical T3 (cT3) disease before radical prostatectomy? <i>Yonsei Med J</i> 2010;51:700-7.	Unclear method
Lee SH, Park KK, Choi KH, Lim BJ, Kim JH, Lee SW, et al. Is endorectal coil necessary for the staging of clinically localized prostate cancer? Comparison of non-endorectal versus endorectal MR imaging. <i>World J Urol</i> 2010;28:667-72.	Not relevant PICO
Lee ST, Davis ID, Gong SJ, Shanker L, Clouston D, Bolton DM, et al. 11C-choline pet scanning is more accurate than biopsy in assessment of localized prostate cancer planned for radical prostatectomy. <i>Int Med J</i> 2012;4226.	Not original study
Leibovici D, Kamat AM, Do KA, Pettaway CA, Ng CS, Evans RB, et al. Transrectal ultrasound versus magnetic resonance imaging for detection of rectal wall invasion by prostate cancer. <i>Prostate</i> 2005;62:101-4.	Not relevant PICO
Leroy M, Teillac P, Rain JD, Saccavini JC, Le Duc A, Najean Y. Radioimmunodetection of lymph node invasion in prostatic cancer. <i>The</i>	Unclear method

use of iodine 123 (123I)-labeled monoclonal anti-prostatic acid phosphatase (PAP) 227 A F(ab') ₂ antibody fragments in vivo. <i>Cancer</i> 1989;64:1-5.	
Levine MS, Arger PH, Coleman BG, Mulhern CB, Jr., Pollack HM, Wein AJ. Detecting lymphatic metastases from prostatic carcinoma: superiority of CT. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 1981;137:207-11.	Not relevant PICO
Levrn Z, Gonzalez JA, Diokno AC, Jafri SZ, Steinert BW. Are pelvic computed tomography, bone scan and pelvic lymphadenectomy necessary in the staging of prostatic cancer? <i>Br J Urol</i> 1995;75:778-81.	Not relevant PICO
Liodakis P, Bolton DM, Esler S, Ranatunga D, Kuswanto K, Lawrentschuk N. 64-slice multidetector CT versus MRI for preoperative assessment of seminal vesicle invasion in prostate cancer: A prospective study. <i>J Urol</i> 2009;181:102.	Not original study
Lista F, Gimbernat H, Caceres F, Rodriguez-Barbero JM, Castillo E, Angulo JC. Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging for the assessment of extracapsular invasion and other staging parameters in patients with prostate cancer candidates for radical prostatectomy. E-publ 2013. <i>Actas Urol Esp</i> 2014;38:290-7.	Not relevant PICO
Lord M, De Perrot T, Wissmeyer M, Buchegger F, Ratib O, Vallee J. Incremental value of integrated 18F-fluorocholine PET/MRI for initial staging of prostate cancer. <i>Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging</i> 2011;38S110.	Not original study
Lord M, Ratib O, Vallee JP. 18F-fluorocholine integrated PET/MRI for the initial staging of prostate cancer. <i>Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging</i> 2011;38:2288.	Not original study
Loughlin KR, Tempany C, Kacker R, Elsobky S. Endorectal MRI may offer improved prediction of extracapsular extension over clinical nomograms for intermediate but not low risk patients. <i>Maturitas</i> 2012;71S73.	Not original study
Manenti G, Carlani M, Mancino S, Colangelo V, Di Roma M, Squillaci E, et al. Diffusion tensor magnetic resonance imaging of prostate cancer. <i>Invest Radiol</i> 2007;42:412-9.	Not relevant PICO
Mathieu C, Ferrer L, Carlier T, Lacombe M, Campion L, Rusu D, et al. Assessment of lymph nodes and prostate pathological status with early dynamic curves PET/CT 18F-Choline (FCH) in prostate cancer: Preliminary results. <i>Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging</i> 2012;39 SUPPL 2S461.	Not original study
Mattei A, Fuechsel FG, Bhatta Dhar N, Warncke SH, Thalmann GN, Krause T, et al. The template of the primary lymphatic landing sites of the prostate should be revisited: results of a multimodality mapping study. <i>Eur Urol</i> 2008;53:118-25.	Not relevant PICO

May F, Treumann T, Dettmar P, Hartung R, Breul J. Limited value of endorectal magnetic resonance imaging and transrectal ultrasonography in the staging of clinically localized prostate cancer. <i>BJU Int</i> 2001;87:66-9.	Not relevant PICO
May F, Treumann T, Dettmar P, Hartung R, Breul J. [Significance of endorectal nuclear magnetic resonance tomography and transrectal ultrasound diagnosis in local staging of prostatic carcinoma]. <i>Urologe A</i> 2002;41:458-61.	Not relevant PICO
Mazeman E, Lemaitre L, Rigot JM, Lambert I. Place of the computed tomography in the staging of prostatic cancer. <i>Prog Clin Biol Res</i> 1987;243B55-64.	Not relevant PICO
Minn H, Kahkonen E, Jambor I, Kempainen J, Lehtio K, Kuisma A, et al. Detection of prostate cancer using 68Ga-labelled bombesin analogue BAY 86-7548 in patients undergoing radical prostatectomy. <i>Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging</i> 2012;39 SUPPL. 2S255.	Not original study
Mitchell C, Kwon E, Lowe V, Hung J, Rangel L, Karnes RJ. Initial staging for high risk prostate cancer: Is there a role for 11c-choline PET/CT scan? <i>J Urol</i> 2012;187:e822.	Not relevant PICO
Moran D, Marignol L, Fagan A, Hollywood D, Meaney J, Lynch T. Functional endorectal MRI at 3 Tesla for the detection and staging of prostate cancer: Correlation with prostatectomy specimens. <i>Ir J Med Sci</i> 2011;180S269-S70.	Not original study
Morgan VA, Kyriazi S, Ashley SE, DeSouza NM. Evaluation of the potential of diffusion-weighted imaging in prostate cancer detection. <i>Acta Radiol</i> 2007;48:695-703.	Not relevant PICO
Moul JW, Kane CJ, Malkowicz SB. The role of imaging studies and molecular markers for selecting candidates for radical prostatectomy. <i>Urol Clin North Am</i> 2001;28:459-72.	Not original study
Mukamel E, de Kernion JB, Hannah J, Barbaric Z. Staging of localized prostate cancer: a clinical-pathologic correlation. <i>J Urol (Paris)</i> 1988;94:381-8.	Not relevant PICO
Mukamel E, Hannah J, Barbaric Z, deKernion JB. The value of computerized tomography scan and magnetic resonance imaging in staging prostatic carcinoma: comparison with the clinical and histological staging. <i>J Urol</i> 1986;136:1231-3.	Not relevant PICO
Mullerad M, Hricak H, Kuroiwa K, Pucar D, Chen HN, Kattan MW, et al. Comparison of endorectal magnetic resonance imaging, guided prostate biopsy and digital rectal examination in the preoperative anatomical localization of prostate cancer. <i>J Urol</i> 2005;174:2158-63.	Not relevant PICO

Muro Y, Mizuno R, Yazawa S, Kosaka T, Morita S, Kono H, et al. Undetectable tumor through preoperative MRI predicts organ confined disease and lower tumor volume in patients with clinically localized prostate cancer. <i>J Urol</i> 2013;189(4 SUPPL 1):e275.	Not original study
Nakashima J, Tanimoto A, Imai Y, Mukai M, Horiguchi Y, Nakagawa K, et al. Endorectal MRI for prediction of tumor site, tumor size, and local extension of prostate cancer. <i>Urology</i> 2004;64:101-5.	Not relevant PICO
Navarro-Pelayo Lainez M, Rodriguez-Fernandez A, Sanchez-Sanchez R, Palacios-Gerona H, Cozar-Olmo J, Vazquez-Alonso F, et al. Contributions of PET/CT with (18)F-FDG and (18)F-fluorocholine in management of patients with prostate adenocarcinoma. <i>Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imag</i> 2012;39 SUPPL. 2S461.	Not original study
Nepple K, Williams RD. Concordance of preoperative prostate endorectal MRI with radical retropubic prostatectomy specimens. <i>J Urol</i> 2010;183:e121-e22.	Not original study
Nguyen D, Vu L, Vu T, Nguyen A, Nguyen C, Vu P, et al. Preoperative assessment and post-operative evaluation of prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy. <i>Urology</i> 2009;74:S278.	Not original study
Nicolas V, Beese M, Keulers A, Bressel M, Kastendieck H, Huland H. [MR tomography in prostatic carcinoma: comparison of conventional and endorectal MRT]. <i>Rofo</i> 1994;161:319-26.	Not relevant PICO
Nishimoto K, Nakashima J, Hashiguchi A, Kikuchi E, Miyajima A, Nakagawa K, et al. Prediction of extraprostatic extension by prostate specific antigen velocity, endorectal MRI, and biopsy Gleason score in clinically localized prostate cancer. <i>Int J Urol</i> 2008;15:520-3.	Unclear method
Nishiyama T, Ashikari A, Namitome R, Yagi Y, Toya K, Yorozu A, et al. Clinical value of fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) in urologic cancers. <i>Urology</i> 2011;78:S220.	Not original study
Nobler MP, Huh SH, Stoller R. Is preoperative computed tomography necessary in the management of patients treated for carcinoma of the prostate by 125iodine interstitial implantation and bilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy? <i>Am J Clin Oncol</i> 1987;10:50-4.	Not relevant PICO
Nunez R, Jover R, Pozo M, Nunez C, Viera J, Lasa D, et al. Clinical usefulness of 18Fluorocholine PET/CT in prostate cancer. <i>Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imag</i> 2011;38S366.	Not original study
Ogura K, Maekawa S, Okubo K, Aoki Y, Okada T, Oda K, et al. Dynamic endorectal magnetic resonance imaging for local staging and detection of	Not relevant PICO

neurovascular bundle involvement of prostate cancer: correlation with histopathologic results. <i>Urology</i> 2001;57:721-6.	
Okada K, Yoshida O, Paulson DF, Rutishauser G. Lymph node staging in potentially curable prostatic carcinoma. <i>Prog Clin Biol Res</i> 1988;269:211-25.	Not relevant PICO
Olsson CA. Staging of M0 prostatic adenocarcinoma: how aggressive should one be? <i>Prog Clin Biol Res</i> 1984;153:455-67.	Not original study
Outwater EK, Petersen RO, Siegelman ES, Gomella LG, Chernesky CE, Mitchell DG. Prostate carcinoma: assessment of diagnostic criteria for capsular penetration on endorectal coil MR images. <i>Radiology</i> 1994;193:333-9.	Not relevant PICO
Ouzaid I, Xylinas E, Belas O, Bouanane M, Rahmouni A, Ploussard G, et al. What is the added value of routine pelvic MRI in prostate cancer evaluation in daily practice? <i>European Urology, Supplements</i> 2012;11:e886-e86a.	Not original study
Ouzaid I, Xylinas E, Olivier B, Ploussard G, Bouanane M, Rahmouni A, et al. What is the added value of routine pelvic mri in prostate cancer evaluation in daily practice? A 10-years experience from a large prostate cancer reference center. <i>J Urol</i> 2012;187:e656-e57.	Not original study
Oyen RH, Van Poppel HP, Ameye FE, Van de Voorde WA, Baert AL, Baert LV. Lymph node staging of localized prostatic carcinoma with CT and CT-guided fine-needle aspiration biopsy: prospective study of 285 patients. <i>Radiology</i> 1994;190:315-22.	Not relevant PICO
Pak S, Park S, Song SH, You D, Song C, Ahn H. Characteristics of anterior predominant prostate cancer and the usefulness of multiparametric mri in diagnosis. <i>J Urol</i> 2013;189 (4 SUPPL 1):e548.	Not original study
Park BK, Kim B, Kim CK, Lee HM, Kwon GY. Comparison of phased-array 3.0-T and endorectal 1.5-T magnetic resonance imaging in the evaluation of local staging accuracy for prostate cancer. <i>J Comput Assist Tomogr</i> 2007;31:534-8.	Not relevant PICO
Pasoglou V, Larbi A, Collette L, Annet L, Jamar F, Machiels JP, et al. One-step TNM staging of high-risk prostate cancer using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI): Toward an upfront simplified "all-in-one" imaging approach? <i>Prostate</i> 2014;74:469-77.	Not relevant PICO
Pegios W, Bentas W, Wittmann L, Mack MG, Zangos S, Sollner O, et al. [MRI staging of prostate cancer with the combined endorectal body phased-array coil and histologic correlation]. <i>Rofo</i> 2003;175:1660-6.	Not relevant PICO

Perez Martin M, Del Castillo Acuna R, Peracaula Espino F. Evaluating the effectiveness of MRI in the initial therapeutic strategy in prostate cancer. Our experience. <i>Rep Pract Oncol Radiother</i> 2013;18 SUPPL. 1S317.	Not original study
Petersen H, Polusen MH, Bouchelouche K, Hoilund-Carlsen P, Gerke O, Svolgaard N, et al. [18F]-fluorocholine PET/CT for preoperative lymph node staging of prostate cancer. <i>Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imag</i> 2011;38S111.	Not original study
Pirro V, Racca M, Garibaldi E, Varetto T. Staging of patients affected by high risk prostate cancer with 18F-Choline PET/TC: Preliminary results. <i>Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imag</i> 2012;39 SUPPL 2S577.	Not original study
Platt JF, Bree RL, Schwab RE. The accuracy of CT in the staging of carcinoma of the prostate. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 1987;149:315-8.	Not relevant PICO
Pollen JJ. The role of radiography, computed tomography and bone scanning in prostatic cancer. <i>Prostate</i> 1980;1:251-8.	Not original study
Porcaro AB, Borsato A, Romano M, Sava T, Ghimenton C, Migliorini F, et al. Accuracy of preoperative endo-rectal coil magnetic resonance imaging in detecting clinical under-staging of localized prostate cancer. <i>World J Urol</i> 2013;31:1245-51.	Not relevant PICO
Porcaro AB, Migliorini F, Monaco C, Rubilotta E, Zecchini Antonioli S, Balzarro M, et al. Accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging with endorectal coil (ER-MRI) in staging early prostate cancer (EPC) before radical prostatectomy (RP). <i>European Urology, Supplements</i> 2009;8:355.	Not original study
Porpiglia F, Fiori C, Mele F, Manfredi M, Grande S, Poggio M, et al. Active surveillance for prostate cancer: Diagnostic accuracy of multiparametric mri in selection of patients. <i>J Urol</i> 2013;189 (4 SUPPL 1):e905.	Not original study
Porpiglia F, Fiori C, Russo F, Armando E, Mele F, Manfredi M, et al. Is MRI reliable in prostate cancer staging? <i>J Urol</i> 2012;187:e147.	Not original study
Poulsen MH, Bouchelouche K, Hoilun-Carlsen PF, Petersen H, Gerke O, Svolgaard N, et al. [18F]-fluorocholine PET/CT for preoperative lymph node staging of prostate cancer. <i>European Urology, Supplements</i> 2011;10:301-02.	Not original study
Presti JC, Jr. ,Hricak H, Narayan PA, Shinohara K, White S, Carroll PR. Local staging of prostatic carcinoma: comparison of transrectal sonography and endorectal MR imaging. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 1996;166:103-8.	Not relevant PICO
Preston MA, Harisinghani MG, Mucci L, Witiuk K, Breau RH. Diagnostic tests in urology: magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for the staging of prostate cancer. <i>BJU Int</i> , 2013;111:514-7.	Not original study

Qayyum T, Willder JM, McArdle PA, Horgan PG, Edwards J, Underwood MA. The accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging in radical prostatectomy. <i>Curr Urol</i> 2013;7:62-64.	Not relevant PICO
Quinn SF, Franzini DA, Demlow TA, Rosencrantz DR, Kim J, Hanna RM, et al. MR imaging of prostate cancer with an endorectal surface coil technique: correlation with whole-mount specimens. <i>Radiology</i> 1994;190:323-7.	Not relevant PICO
Raman J, Lehman K, Brown LR, Reese C, Kaag M, Dagen JE, et al. Pelvic MRI with surface body coils to assess local extent of disease for patients with prostate cancer undergoing radical prostatectomy. <i>J Urol</i> 2012;187:e821.	Not original study
Renard-Penna R, Roupret M, Comperat E, Ayed A, Coudert M, Mozer P, et al. Accuracy of high resolution (1.5 tesla) pelvic phased array magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in staging prostate cancer in candidates for radical prostatectomy: results from a prospective study. E-publ 2011. <i>Urol Oncol</i> 2013;31:448-54.	Not relevant PICO
Reske SN, Blumstein NM, Neumaier B, Gottfried HW, Finsterbusch F, Kocot D, et al. Imaging prostate cancer with 11C-choline PET/CT. <i>J Nucl Med</i> 2006;47:1249-54.	Not relevant PICO
Riduan AR, Mohd Ghani KA, Sothilingam S, Sundram M, Mastura S, Yusoff NA. Accuracy of 1.5 T body coil MRI in staging prostate cancer: Correlations with robotic radical prostatectomy. <i>Med J Malaysia</i> 2012;67:242.	Not original study
Riedl R, Beer M, Schmidt H, Saul C, Jocham D. The value of magnetic resonance imaging, computerized tomography scan and transrectal ultrasound in staging prostatic carcinoma. <i>Aktuelle Urol</i> 1989;20:295-99.	Not relevant PICO
Riera E, Garcia JR, Jorcano S, Soler M, Valls E, Moragas M, et al. 11C-Choline PET/CT in the diagnosis of primary prostate cancer. Impact on treatment planning. <i>Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imag</i> 2012;39 SUPPL. 2S462.	Not original study
Rifkin MD, Zerhouni EL, Gastonis CA, Quint LE, Paushter DM, Epstein JI, et al. Comparison of magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasonography in staging early prostate cancer. Results of a multi-institutional cooperative trial. <i>Invest Radiol</i> 1991;26:1024-25.	Not original study
Robinson S, Motiwala H, Karim O, Laniado M. Multiparametric MRI and prostate cancer staging. <i>Urology</i> 2012;80(3 SUPPL 1):S86.	Not original study
Roethke M, Kaufmann S, Kniess M, Ketelsen D, Claussen CD, Schlemmer HP, et al. Seminal vesicle invasion: accuracy and analysis of infiltration patterns	Not relevant PICO

with high-spatial resolution T2-weighted sequences on endorectal magnetic resonance imaging. <i>Urol Int</i> 2014;92:294-9.	
Roethke MC, Lichy MP, Knies M, Werner MK, Claussen CD, Stenzl A, et al. Accuracy of preoperative endorectal MRI in predicting extracapsular extension and influence on neurovascular bundle sparing in radical prostatectomy. <i>World J Urol</i> 2013;31:1111-6.	Not relevant PICO
Romano M, Porcaro AB, Montemezzi S, Borsato A, Palazzi M, Maluta S. On the role of magnetic resonance imaging with Endorectal Coil (ER-MRI) in changing the stage (and treatment approach) in patients with early prostate cancer undergoing primary radiation. <i>Crit Rev Oncol Hematol</i> 2011;78 SUPPL. 1S22-S23.	Not original study
Rorvik J, Halvorsen OJ, Albrektsen G, Erslund L, Daehlin L, Haukaas S. Use of pelvic surface coil MR imaging for assessment of clinically localized prostate cancer with histopathological correlation. <i>Clin Radiol</i> 1999;54:164-9.	Not relevant PICO
Rorvik J, Halvorsen OJ, Albrektsen G, Erslund L, Daehlin L, Haukaas S. MRI with an endorectal coil for staging of clinically localised prostate cancer prior to radical prostatectomy. <i>Eur Radiol</i> 1999;9:29-34.	Not relevant PICO
Rosenkrantz AB, Neil J, Kong X, Melamed J, Babb JS, Taneja SS, et al. Prostate cancer: Comparison of 3D T2-weighted with conventional 2D T2-weighted imaging for image quality and tumor detection. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 2010;194:446-52.	Not relevant PICO
Rosenthal SA, Haseman MK, Polascik TJ. Utility of capromab pendetide (ProstaScint) imaging in the management of prostate cancer. <i>Tech Urol</i> 2001;7:27-37.	Unclear method
Rotte KH, Oehler W, Kriedemann E, Merkle K, Brenneke HJ. [The role of computerized tomography in the diagnosis and treatment planning of prostatic cancer]. <i>Z Urol Nephrol</i> 1984;77:513-20.	Not relevant PICO
Ruprecht O, Weisser P, Bodelle B, Ackermann H, Vogl TJ. MRI of the prostate: interobserver agreement compared with histopathologic outcome after radical prostatectomy. <i>Eur J Radiol</i> 2012;81:456-60.	Not relevant PICO
Saito K, Kaminaga T, Muto S, Ide H, Nishio K, Kamiyama Y, et al. Clinical efficacy of proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS) in the diagnosis of localized prostate cancer. <i>Anticancer Res</i> 2008;28:1899-904.	Not relevant PICO
Sakamoto K, Kader AK, Owens E, Aganovic L. The evaluation of magnetic resonance imaging after prostate needle biopsies in predicting the pathological staging after robotic assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy. <i>J Endourol</i> 2012; 26A245.	Not original study

Sala E, Akin O, Moskowitz CS, Eisenberg HF, Kuroiwa K, Ishill NM, et al. Endorectal MR imaging in the evaluation of seminal vesicle invasion: diagnostic accuracy and multivariate feature analysis. <i>Radiology</i> 2006;238:929-37.	Not relevant PICO
Sala E, Eberhardt SC, Akin O, Moskowitz CS, Onyebuchi CN, Kuroiwa K, et al. Endorectal MR imaging before salvage prostatectomy: tumor localization and staging. <i>Radiology</i> 2006;238:176-83.	Not relevant PICO
Sawczuk IS, deVere White R, Gold RP, Olsson CA. Sensitivity of computed tomography in evaluation of pelvic lymph node metastases from carcinoma of bladder and prostate. <i>Urology</i> 1983;21:81-4.	Not relevant PICO
Scher B, Seitz M, Albinger W, Tiling R, Scherr M, Becker HC, et al. Value of 11C-choline PET and PET/CT in patients with suspected prostate cancer. <i>Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging</i> 2007;34:45-53.	Not relevant PICO
Schiavina R, Baccos A, Zukerman Z, Rocca C, Pultrone C, Borghesi M, et al. Accuracy of endorectal MRI and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI in the preoperative local staging of prostate cancer: A prospective study of 46 patients. <i>Anticancer Res</i> 2011;31:1949.	Not original study
Schiebler ML, McSherry S, Keefe B, Mittelstaedt CA, Mohler JL, Dent GA, et al. Comparison of the digital rectal examination, endorectal ultrasound, and body coil magnetic resonance imaging in the staging of adenocarcinoma of the prostate. <i>Urol Radiol</i> 1991;13:110-8.	Not relevant PICO
Schiebler ML, Yankaskas BC, Tempany C, Holtz P, Zerhouni E. Efficacy of prostate-specific antigen and magnetic resonance imaging in staging stage C adenocarcinoma of the prostate. <i>Invest Radiol</i> 1992;27:575-7.	Not relevant PICO
Schiebler ML, Yankaskas BC, Tempany C, Spritzer CE, Rifkin MD, Pollack HM, et al. MR imaging in adenocarcinoma of the prostate: interobserver variation and efficacy for determining stage C disease. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 1992;158:559-62; discussion 63-4.	Not relevant PICO
Schiebler ML, Yankaskas BC, Tempany C, Spritzer CE, Rifkin MD, Pollack HM, et al. MR imaging in adenocarcinoma of the prostate: interobserver variation and efficacy for determining stage C disease. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 1992;158:559-62; discussion 563-4.	Not original study
Schmid DT, John H, Zweifel R, Cservenyak T, Westera G, Goerres GW, et al. Fluorocholine PET/CT in patients with prostate cancer: initial experience. <i>Radiology</i> , 2005; 235 (2): 623-8.	Not relevant PICO
Schumacher D, Sorensen J, Brekkan E, Wassberg C, Malmstrom PU. The value of 11C-acetate pet for lymph node staging in patients with newly	Not original study

diagnosed prostate cancer-results of a prospective study. <i>European Urology, Supplements</i> 2009;8:319.	
Schuster D, Fei B, Fox T, Osunkoya AO. Histopathologic correlation of prostatic adenocarcinoma on radical prostatectomy with pre-operative anti-18F fluorocyclobutyl-carboxylic acid positron emission tomography/computed tomography. <i>Lab Invest</i> 2011;91222A-23A.	Not original study
Schuster DM, Votaw JR, Nieh PT, Yu W, Nye JA, Master V, et al. Initial experience with the radiotracer anti-1-amino-3-18F- fluorocyclobutane-1-carboxylic acid with PET/CT in prostate carcinoma. <i>J Nucl Med</i> 2007;48:56-63.	Not relevant PICO
Scopinaro F, De Vincentis G, Varvarigou AD, Laurenti C, Iori F, Remediani S, et al. 99mTc-bombesin detects prostate cancer and invasion of pelvic lymph nodes. <i>Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging</i> 2003;30:1378-82.	Not relevant PICO
Selli C, Caramella D, Giusti S, Conti A, Tognetti A, Mogorovich A, et al. Value of image fusion in the staging of prostatic carcinoma. <i>Radiol Med</i> 2007;112:74-81.	Not relevant PICO
Sheu MH, Wang JH, Chen KK, Chiang H, Teng MH. Prostate cancer: local staging with endorectal magnetic resonance imaging. <i>Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi (Taipei)</i> 1998;61:243-52.	Not relevant PICO
Shukla-Dave A, Hricak H, Kattan MW, Pucar D, Kuroiwa K, Chen HN, et al. The utility of magnetic resonance imaging and spectroscopy for predicting insignificant prostate cancer: an initial analysis. <i>BJU Int</i> 2007;99:786-93.	Not relevant PICO
Soulie M, Aziza R, Escourrou G, Seguin P, Tollon C, Molinier L, et al. Assessment of the risk of positive surgical margins with pelvic phased-array magnetic resonance imaging in patients with clinically localized prostate cancer: a prospective study. <i>Urology</i> 2001;58:228-32.	Not relevant PICO
Souvatzoglou M, Maurer T, Weirich G, Kuebler H, Treiber U, Gschwend J, et al. [11C]-Choline PET/CT in staging of primary prostate cancer: A histological correlation of PET/CT findings with respect to nodal metastatic disease. <i>Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imag</i> 2011;38S110.	Not original study
Spencer J, Golding S. CT evaluation of lymph node status at presentation of prostatic carcinoma. <i>Br J Radiol</i> 1992;65:199-201.	Unclear method
Srivastava A, Grover S, Peters DL, El Douaihy Y, Leung R, Tan GY, et al. Refinements for improving predictive value of positive extracapsular extension status on endorectal magnetic resonance imaging of patients with prostate cancer. <i>Int J Med Robot</i> 2011;728.	Not original study

<p>Srivastava A, Grover S, Sooriakumaran P, Rajan S, Eldouaihy Y, Leung R, et al. Value of pharmacokinetic analysis of dynamic contrast enhanced MRI performed for pre-operative localization and staging of prostate cancer. J Endourol 2010;24A81.</p>	<p>Not original study</p>
<p>Stanka M, Eltze E, Semjonow A, Sievert KD, Maier A, Pfliederer B. [Spectroscopic imaging (1H-2D-CSI) of the prostate: sequence optimization and correlation with histopathological results]. Rofo 2000;172:623-9.</p>	<p>Not relevant PICO</p>
<p>Stroup SP, Kane CJ, Farchshchi-Heydari S, James CM, Davis CH, Wallace AM, et al. Preoperative sentinel lymph node mapping of the prostate using PET/CT fusion imaging and Ga-68-labeled tilmanocept in an animal model. Clin Exp Metastasis 2012;29:673-80.</p>	<p>Not relevant PICO</p>
<p>Strunk T, Decker G, Willinek W, Mueller SC, Rogenhofer S. Combination of C-TRUS with multiparametric MRI: potential for improving detection of prostate cancer. E-publ 2012. World J Urol 2014;32:335-9.</p>	<p>Not relevant PICO</p>
<p>Takahashi S, Kitajima K, Ueno Y, Aoyama N, Kawakami F, Miyake H, et al. Volumetric 3-D-T2-weighted MRI for the prostate cancer at 3T with interactive multiplanar reformat imaging. J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol 2012;567.</p>	<p>Not original study</p>
<p>Tan JS, Thng CH, Tan PH, Cheng CW, Lau WK, Tan TW, et al. Local experience of endorectal magnetic resonance imaging of prostate with correlation to radical prostatectomy specimens. Ann Acad Med Singapore 2008;37:40-3.</p>	<p>Not relevant PICO</p>
<p>Tan N, Margolis DJ, McClure TD, Thomas A, Finley DS, Reiter RE, et al. Radical prostatectomy: value of prostate MRI in surgical planning. Abdom Imaging 2012;37:664-74.</p>	<p>Not original study</p>
<p>Tanaka K, Shigemura K, Yamaguchi K, Chiba K, Furukawa J, Haraguchi T, et al. Accuracy of preoperative 3 tesla mri in staging prostate cancer at roboticassisted laparoscopic prostatectomy. J Endourol 2012;26A243-A44.</p>	<p>Not original study</p>
<p>Tempany CM, Rahmouni AD, Epstein JI, Walsh PC, Zerhouni EA. Invasion of the neurovascular bundle by prostate cancer: evaluation with MR imaging. Radiology 1991;181:107-12.</p>	<p>Not relevant PICO</p>
<p>Tempany CM, Zhou X, Zerhouni EA, Rifkin MD, Quint LE, Piccoli CW, et al. Staging of prostate cancer: Results of Radiology Diagnostic Oncology Group project comparison of three MR imaging techniques. Radiology 1994;192:47-54.</p>	<p>Not relevant PICO</p>

Thoeny HC, Triantafyllou M, Birkhaeuser FD, Froehlich JM, Tshering DW, Binsler T, et al. Combined ultras small superparamagnetic particles of iron oxide-enhanced and diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging reliably detect pelvic lymph node metastases in normal-sized nodes of bladder and prostate cancer patients. <i>Eur Urol</i> 2009;55:761-9.	Not relevant PICO
Torricelli P, Barberini A, Cinquantini F, Sighinolfi M, Cesinaro AM. 3-T MRI with phased-array coil in local staging of prostatic cancer. <i>Acad Radiol</i> 2008;15:1118-25.	Not relevant PICO
Torricelli P, Cinquantini F, Ligabue G, Bianchi G, Sighinolfi P, Romagnoli R. Comparative evaluation between external phased array coil at 3 T and endorectal coil at 1.5 T: preliminary results. <i>J Comput Assist Tomogr</i> 2006;30:355-61.	Not relevant PICO
Triller J, Fuchs WA. [Computed tomographic staging of prostatic cancer]. <i>Rofo</i> 1982;137:669-74.	Not relevant PICO
Triller J, Fuchs WA, Helzel MV. [Computer tomographic staging of prostatic cancers]. <i>Rofo</i> 1983;139:691-2.	Not original study
Tutolo M, Briganti A, Suardi N, Gallina A, Abdollah F, Parra RG, et al. Is [11C]choline PET/CT recommended for restaging prostate cancer patients after radical prostatectomy when psa is lower than 1 ng/mL? <i>J Urol</i> 2012;187:e78-e79.	Not original study
Tuzel E, Sevinc M, Obuz F, Sade M, Kirkali Z. Is magnetic resonance imaging necessary in the staging of prostate cancer? <i>Urol Int</i> , 1998;61:227-31.	Not relevant PICO
Wadhwa SN, Sood R, Hemal AK, Tripathi RP. Comparison of transrectal ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging and computerized tomography in the staging of carcinoma prostate. <i>Ind J Urol</i> 1993;10:7-10.	Not relevant PICO
Van Den Bergh L, Joniau S, Budiharto T, Lerut E, Deroose C, Oyen R, et al. Mapping of pelvic lymph node metastases in prostate cancer. <i>European Urology, Supplements</i> 2011;10:187.	Not original study
Van Den Bergh L, Joniau S, Lerut E, Oyen R, Deroose CM, Budiharto T, et al. Choline PET-CT and diffusion-weighted MRI for nodal staging in prostate cancer at high risk for nodal metastases. <i>Radiother Oncol</i> 2012;103S45-S46.	Not original study
Van den Bergh L, Koole M, Isebaert S, Joniau S, Deroose CM, Oyen R, et al. Is there an additional value of (1)(1)C-choline PET-CT to T2-weighted MRI images in the localization of intraprostatic tumor nodules? <i>Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys</i> 2012;83:1486-92.	Not relevant PICO

Van Poppel H, Ameye F, Oyen R, Van de Voorde W, Baert L. Accuracy of combined computerized tomography and fine needle aspiration cytology in lymph node staging of localized prostatic carcinoma. <i>J Urol</i> 1994;151:1310-4.	Not relevant PICO
Wang L, Hricak H, Kattan MW, Chen HN, Scardino PT, Kuroiwa K. Prediction of organ-confined prostate cancer: incremental value of MR imaging and MR spectroscopic imaging to staging nomograms. <i>Radiology</i> 2006;238:597-603.	Not relevant PICO
Wang L, Hricak H, Kattan MW, Schwartz LH, Eberhardt SC, Chen HN, et al. Combined endorectal and phased-array MRI in the prediction of pelvic lymph node metastasis in prostate cancer. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 2006;186:743-8.	Not relevant PICO
Wang L, Zhang J, Schwartz LH, Eisenberg H, Ishill NM, Moskowitz CS, et al. Incremental value of multiplanar cross-referencing for prostate cancer staging with endorectal MRI. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 2007;188:99-104.	Not relevant PICO
Vapnek JM, Hricak H, Shinohara K, Popovich M, Carroll P. Staging accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging versus transrectal ultrasound in stages A and B prostatic cancer. <i>Urol Int</i> 1994;53:191-5.	Not relevant PICO
Weinerman PM, Arger PH, Coleman BG, Pollack HM, Banner MP, Wein AJ. Pelvic adenopathy from bladder and prostate carcinoma: detection by rapid-sequence computed tomography. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 1983;140:95-9.	Not relevant PICO
Wetter A, Ajdukovic AN, Fliessbach K, Lehnert T, Engl T, Jacobi V, et al. [Staging of prostate cancer: value of the combined information of endorectal MRI, biopsy Gleason score, and preoperative PSA level]. <i>Rofo</i> 2006;178:385-90.	Not relevant PICO
Wetter A, Engl TA, Nadjmabadi D, Fliessbach K, Lehnert T, Gurung J, et al. Combined MRI and MR spectroscopy of the prostate before radical prostatectomy. <i>AJR Am J Roentgenol</i> 2006;187:724-30.	Not relevant PICO
Vik V, Ryznarova Z, Koukolik F, Vomacka V, Nencka P, Zachoval R. Comparison of pre-op performed MRI&MRS with final histopathology at patients with prostate cancer indicated for radical prostatectomy. <i>European Urology, Supplements</i> 2010;9:655.	Not original study
Wilkinson BA, Hamdy FC. State-of-the-art staging in prostate cancer. <i>BJU Int</i> 2001;87:423-30.	Not original study
Wirth M. Value of endorectal coil MRI for preoperative staging of prostate cancer. <i>International Journal of Urology</i> 2012;19 SUPPL 1108.	Not original study

Wolff JM, Borchers H, Jakse G. Determination of local tumour extension in cases of carcinoma of the prostate. Arch Esp Urol 1997;50:546-53.	Not original study
Xylinas E, Yates DR, Renard-Penna R, Seringe E, Bousquet JC, Comperat E, et al. Role of pelvic phased array magnetic resonance imaging in staging of prostate cancer specifically in patients diagnosed with clinically locally advanced tumours by digital rectal examination. E-publ 2011. World J Urol 2013;31:881-6.	Not relevant PICO
Yakar D, Debats OA, Bomers JGR, Schouten MG, Vos PC, Van Lin E, et al. Predictive value of MRI in the localization, staging, volume estimation, assessment of aggressiveness, and guidance of radiotherapy and biopsies in prostate cancer. J Magn Reson Imaging 2012;35:20-31.	Not original study
Yamaguchi T, Lee J, Uemura H, Sasaki T, Takahashi N, Oka T, et al. Prostate cancer: a comparative study of 11C-choline PET and MR imaging combined with proton MR spectroscopy. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2005;32:742-8.	Not relevant PICO
Yasbek Hanna M, Rafiq M, Armitage J, Igali L, Ball R, Kumar V. A critical analysis of the performance of MRI and Partin's nomogram in prostate cancer patients undergoing radical treatment. Urology 2011;78:S152.	Not original study
Yates J, Dakwar G, Sawczuk I, Munver R. Critical analysis of the use of preoperative imaging studies for patients undergoing robotic-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: Is practice consistent with published guidelines? J Endourol 2009; 23A98.	Not original study
Yoshida S, Nakagomi K, Goto S, Futatsubashi M, Torizuka T. 11C-choline positron emission tomography in prostate cancer: primary staging and recurrent site staging. Urol Int 2005;74:214-20.	Not relevant PICO
Zhang JQ, Loughlin KR, Zou KH, Haker S, Tempany CM. Role of endorectal coil magnetic resonance imaging in treatment of patients with prostate cancer and in determining radical prostatectomy surgical margin status: report of a single surgeon's practice. Urology 2007;69:1134-7.	Not relevant PICO

Health Economic studies

Hovels AM, Heesakkers RA, Adang EM, Barentsz JO, Jager GJ, Severens JL. Cost-effectiveness of MR lymphography for the detection of lymph node metastases in patients with prostate cancer. Radiology 2009;252:729-36.	Not relevant
Hovels AM, Heesakkers RA, Adang EM, Jager GJ, Barentsz JO. Cost-analysis of staging methods for lymph nodes in patients with prostate	Not relevant

cancer: MRI with a lymph node-specific contrast agent compared to pelvic lymph node dissection or CT. <i>Eur Radiol</i> 2004;14:1707-12.	
Jager GJ, Severens JL, Thornbury JR, de La Rosette JJ, Ruijs SH, Barentsz JO. Prostate cancer staging: should MR imaging be used? – A decision analytic approach. <i>Radiology</i> 2000;215:445-51.	Not relevant
Langlotz CP, Schnall MD, Malkowicz SB, Schwartz JS. Cost-effectiveness of endorectal magnetic resonance imaging for the staging of prostate cancer (Structured abstract). <i>Acad Radiol</i> 1996;(Supplement 1):S24-s27.	Not relevant
Marchetti A, Lapensee K, Wang L. A pharmacoeconomic evaluation of staging modalities for patients with newly diagnosed and occult recurrent adenocarcinoma of the prostate. <i>Urol Oncol</i> 1997;3:154-65.	Not relevant
Sasso G, Zapotoczna A, Pryor D, Simpson J. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy as a decision tool in multimodality treatment design for localised prostate cancer. <i>Oncol Rev</i> 2009;3:215-23.	Not relevant
Stadlbauer A, Bernt R, Salomonowitz E, Plas E, Strunk G, Eberhardt K. [Health economics evaluation of magnetic resonance imaging for the staging of prostate cancer for Austria and Germany]. <i>Rofo</i> 2012;184:556-64.	Not relevant